Table of Key Legal Provisions Implicating EPT Among All States (and Select Other Jurisdictions)

No information is currently available about the legal status of expedited partner therapy in American Samoa, Guam, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Republic of Palau, Marshall Islands, Federal States of Micronesia or Virgin Islands. The information presented here is not legal advice, nor is it a comprehensive analysis of all the legal provisions that could implicate the legality of EPT in a given jurisdiction.

Legend: (+) S	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissil	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
Alabama	(-) Generally, providing a prescription to patient without examination is considered misconduct. EPT is not listed among exceptions. Ala. Admin. Code r. 540-X-911. (-) Nurses and physician assistants may not prescribe for non-patients of supervising physician. Ala. Admin. Code rr. 610-X-511, .22.		(+) A registered nurse in the employment of the State Health Department or a county health department may, in the provision of health care services, dispense legend drugs as provided in this section under the standing orders or direct supervision of a physician licensed to practice medicine in this state and pursuant to procedures established by the Board of Pharmacy and implemented by a pharmacist licensed to practice pharmacy in this state. The nurse may dispense the legend drugs for the treatment of sexually transmitted diseases, if approved by the State Board of Pharmacy. [Link to Pharmacy Board]		(+) "The State Committee of Public Health designates that the treatment of STDs shall be those accepted by the State Health Officer and consistent with recognized medical and epidemiologic information." Ala. Code § 420-4-105.	(+) Patient identifying information is not required on prescription labels. Ala. Admin. Code r. 680-X-213.	~ EPT is potentially allowable. Under general circumstances, a physician must conduct a physical exam prior to prescribing a medication. The administrative opinion provides that under some circumstances, a physician may delegate the authority to dispense drugs to a nurse (and perhaps others as well, such as the patient). When coupled with authority of the State Comm. of Public Health to

 $CDC/DSTDP \ (08/17/2006) \ revised \ 02/19/2008; revised \ 8/20/2008, revised \ 10/7/2008, revised \ 2/10/2009, revised \ 3/4/2009, revised \ 3/4/2009, revised \ 3/4/2009, revised \ 3/4/2009, revised \ 4/22/2009, revised \ 8/6/2009, revised \ 12/16/2009$

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
							recommend EPT as consistent with recognized medical and epidemiological evidence, EPT is potentially allowable.
Alaska	(-) Unprofessional conduct includes "prescribing medications based solely on a patient-supplied history that a physician licensed in this state received by telephone, facsimile, or electronic format." Alaska Admin. Code tit. 12 § 40.967 (27).				(+) Public health department may establish standards for the prevention, control, or amelioration of conditions of public health importance. Alaska Stat. § 18.15.355. Incorporates: APHA CCD Manual 16 th Edition, 1995 (as revised) Alaska Admin. Code tit. 7, § 27.010.	(-) Information required for pharmacists to fill prescription includes name, address of patient unless address readily available in patient record. Alaska Admin. Code tit. 12 § 52.460. (-) Labels for prescriptions dispensed by Advanced Nurse Practitioners must include patient name and may include patient id # (if applicable). Alaska Admin. Code tit. 12 § 44.447.	~ EPT is potentially allowable. Statutory language concerning unprofessional conduct applies mostly to "telemedicine" examples. If current edition of the APHA manual recommends EPT, it could become incorporated by reference. Alternatively, the public health department could adopt EPT as a standard for treatment of Chlamydia and gonorrhea.

² CDC/DSTDP (08/17/2006) revised 02/19/2008; revised 8/20/2008, revised 10/7/2008, revised 2/10/2009, revised 3/4/2009, revised 3/19/2009, revised 4/22/2009, revised 8/6/2009, revised 09/2/2009, revised 12/16/2009

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care	II. Specific judicial	III. Specific administrative opinions	IV. Legislative	V. Laws that incorporate	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out			EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
Arizona	(+)Unprofessional	}	*******	XXXXXXXX	*\$\$\$\$\$	(-) Drugs dispensed by	✓ EPT is
	conduct includes	XXXXXXXX	********	80000000	*******	physicians must bear patient's	permissible.
	"Prescribing,	BXXXXXXXX	**********	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	name. Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 32-	permissible.
	dispensing or	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$\$\$\$\$\$	88888888	888888888	<u>1491</u> .	Statutory authority
	furnishing a	XXXXXXXX	************	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$		expressly exempts
	prescription medication to a person	KXXXXXXX	*****	XXXXXXX	*****		"prescriptions
	unless the licensee	88888888	***********	88888888	888888888		written or
	first conducts a	BXXXXXXXX	*********	90000000	XXXXXXXXX		antimicrobials
	physical examination	XXXXXXX	**********	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	888888888888888888888888888888888888888		dispensed to a
	of that person or has	XXXXXXXXXX	>>>>>>>	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$ \$\$\$\$		contactwith
	previously established	KXXXXXXX	****	XXXXXXX	******		another person who has been diagnosed
	a doctor-patient	88888888	***********	88888888	888888888		with a
	relationship. This	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	**********	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX		communicable
	subdivision does not	<u> </u>	\$\$\$\$\$\$	***	XXXXXXXXXX		disease as defined
	apply to: (v) Prescriptions written	XXXXXXXX	*******	88888888	*****		in Section 36-661.
	or antimicrobials	XXXXXXXX	******	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX		SB 1078
	dispensed to a contact	88888888	***************************************	88888888	888888888		
	who is believed to	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	**********	9 0000000	\$\$\$\$\$\$		
	have had significant	XXXXXXX	******	***	****		
	exposure risk with	XXXXXXXX	*********	88888888	888888888		
	another person who	KXXXXXXXX	****	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		
	has been diagnosed	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	********	88888888	8888888888		
	with a communicable	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	>>>>>>	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		
	disease."	KXXXXXXX	\$	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX		
	Ariz. Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 32-1401 (27)	KXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	888888888		
	(SS)	800000000	***********	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX		
	(00)	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	******	XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXX		
	See also: <u>32-1501 (31)</u>	XXXXXXXXX	>>>>>	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		
	(ww), 32-1854 (48),	KXXXXXXX	****	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX		
	32-2501(21)(kk)	KXXXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference	•	status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	practices)	(or mic practices)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
Arkansas	(-) "A physician	XXXXXXXX	***************************************	***********	(+) The current	(-) Pharmacist filling a	✗ EPT is likely
	exhibits gross	188888888	\$333333333333333	88888888	edition of	prescription for dispensing to	•
	negligence if he	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	9 0000000	APHA's	an ultimate patient may affix	prohibited.
	providesany form of	KXXXXXX	***********	XXXXXXX	"Control of	label showing patient's name	Statutory language
	treatment, including	88888888	************	88888888	Communicable	on container, but not required.	indicates that
	prescribing legend	1888888888	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	90000000	Disease in Man"	Ark. Code Ann. § 17-92-505.	prescriptions be
	drugs, without first	 	 	***	is accepted for		granted pursuant to
	establishing a proper physician/patient	80000000	500000000000000000000000000000000000000	88888888	applying general control measures		a physician-patient
	relationship."	1888888888		XXXXXXX	for		relationship which
	060-00-001 <u>Ark. Code</u>	KXXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$ \$	***	communicable		is consistent with
	State Medical Board	XXXXXXXXX	500000000000000000000000000000000000000	888888888	diseases.		the pharmacist's
	Regulation No. 2(8)	888888888	*********	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	Ark. Reg007-		duty to ensure that
		KXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	15-02-001		prescriptions are
		XXXXXXXXX	*******		promulgated		dispensed to an
		888888888	******	XXXXXXXX	under the		ultimate user.
		1888888888		88888888	authority of Ark.		
		888888888888888888888888888888888888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$	Code Ann. §§		
~		888888888	*******	XXXXXXXX	<u>20-7-101 et seq.</u>		
California	(+) EPT authorized	(-) Suspension			SXXXXXXXX	(-) Prescription label must	✓ EPT is
	for Chlamydia,	of physician's			RXXXXXXX	bear patient's name. <u>Cal. Bus.</u>	permissible.
	Gonorrhea or other	license upheld			KXXXXXXXXXX	<u>& Prof. Code § 4076</u> .	per missible.
	sexually transmitted	because the			XXXXXXXXX		Statutory authority
	infections as	Board			RXXXXXXX		expressly
	determined by the Department. May be	conclusively established			1555555555		authorizes EPT for
	conducted by	(among other			\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	1	the treatment of
	physicians, nurse	charges) that			KXXXXXXXX		chlamydia and
	practitioners, certified	physician			100000000000000000000000000000000000000		gonorrhea.
	nurse midwives and	prescribed to			XXXXXXXXX		
	physicians assistants.	persons who			KXXXXXXXX		
	Cal. Health & Safety	were not his			1888888888		
	Code § 120582.	patients. <u>Leslie</u>			00000000	•	

⁴

Legend: (+) S	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
	(-) EPT not allowed for all diseases or conditions except Chlamydia, Gonorrhea or other sexually transmitted infections as determined by the Department. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 2242(4), 4170.	v. Bd. of Medical Quality Assurance, 234 Cal. App. 3d 117					
Colorado	(-) The only person who can treat or prescribe drugs for a venereal disease is a licensed physician, and no prescription shall be given unless the name, address, and occupation of the patient are known. Colo. Rev. Stat. § 25-4-403.		(+) It is the position of the Colorado Board of Medical Examiners that the public risk of untreated sexually transmitted infection is greater than the risk of complications from prescribing in this less than ideal setting. Colorado Medical Board of Examiners Policy Number: 40-10 "Appropriateness of Treating Partners of Patients with Sexually Transmitted Infection" states, "There is compelling need for the partner to receive treatment in the form of prescription medications. Treating partners of patients with sexually transmitted infections is generally considered			(+) It is the position of the Colorado Pharmacy Board that the public risk of untreated sexually transmitted infection is greater than the risk of complications from dispensing in this less than ideal setting. Colorado State Board of Pharmacy Policy Number: 40-4 Appropriateness of Labeling Prescriptions to Partners of Patients with Sexually Transmitted Infections (-) Prescription label must include the name of the patient. Colo. Rev. Stat. § 12-22-123(2).	✓ EPT is permissible. Unlike other jurisdictions, the issuance of a prescription does not require an advance physical examination of each patient. The Medical Board has expressly supported EPT and deems it an acceptable practice.

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices) acceptable and desirable if the partner will not seek treatment from his or her primary	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
Connecticut	(-) Drugs dispensed by a prescribing practitioner shall be personally dispensed by the practitioner. Dispensing such drugs shall not be delegated except" to someone licensed to do so "under the supervision of the prescribing practitioner." Conn. Gen. Stat. § 20-14e(c).		healthcare provider."			(-) Prescription labels for drugs dispensed by physician must bear patient's full name. Conn. Gen. Stat. § 20-14e(c).	~ EPT is potentially allowable. Statutory authority does not preclude a physician from prescribing drugs for patient's partner. Rather, the existing statute limits dispensation to the patient (through whom, for purposes of EPT, the drug is administered to the partner).
Delaware						(-) Patient's full name required on prescription label regarding any prescription drug "for the use of a patient or other third party" Del. Code Ann. tit. 24 § 2536(b)(3) (noting that no third-party information is required on the label).	~ EPT is potentially allowable. Statutory authority does not preclude EPT. Prescriptions may be issued for the use of a third party other than the

Legend: (+) S	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference	•	status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	F =	(32 === F = 33 = 3 = 3	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	XXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	**********	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	(+) Pursuant to a valid	patient.
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888	***********	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	prescription, a pharmacist is	Furthermore,
	18888888888888	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	888888888	allowed to dispense a drug	partner information
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXX X	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	"for subsequent	is not required on
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	K XXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	administration or use by a	the prescription
	18888888888888	\$8888888	\$3333333333333333	88888888	888888888	patient or other individual	label.
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXXX	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$\$\$\$\$\$	XXXXXXXXX	entitled to receive the	
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXX	 	************	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	prescription."	
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXX	500000000000000000000000000000000000000	80000000	8888888888	Del Code Ann. tit. 24, Chapt.	
D			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	999999	<u> </u>	25 § 2502(c)	
District of Columbia	53333333333333	XXXXXXXXX	(-) District of Columbia	933333333	(-) Regulations	(-) Label for prescription drug	~ EPT is
Columbia	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXX	Board of Medicine	20000000	incorporate by	must bear patient's name.	potentially
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXX	****	disciplinary order issued	XXXXXXX	reference	D.C. Mun. Reg. tit. 22 §	allowable
	1888888888888	\$8888888	7/31/2003: fined physician \$2000 for prescribing without	88888888	APHA's CCD Manual, Ninth	<u>1913.1.</u>	Incorporation by
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		seeing the patient.	20000000	Ed., 1960.	() Dh	reference of APHA
	KXXXXXXXXXXXX	K XXXXXXXX	[Link to Medical Board	XXXXXXXXX	Meeting	(-) Pharmacists must keep	CCD Manual may
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXX	Newsletter]	XXXXXXXX	requirements of	record of patient name and address for every prescription	authorize the use of
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1000000000	<u></u>	RXXXXXX	the 1960 CCD	filled. D.C. Mun. Reg. tit. 22	EPT provided the
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXX	<u> </u>		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	manual is prima	§ 1914.1.	jurisdiction
	D0000000000000000000000000000000000000	DOODOO OO		\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	facie evidence of	<u></u>	recognizes current
	KXXXXXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXX		KXXXXXXX	good medical or		edition of the
	BXXXXXXXXXXX	\$55555555		RXXXXXXXX	public health		manual and the
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1 000000000000000000000000000000000000		RXXXXXXX	practice.		manual reflects
	XXXXXXXXXXX	{ }}}}}}}		KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	D.C. Mun. Reg. tit. 22 § 202.8.		existing CDC STD Treatment
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX		RXXXXXXXXX	ut. 22 § 202.8.		Guidelines.
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXXX		RXXXXXX			Guidelliles.
Florida	(-) The health dept or	18000000000	\$00000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$	200000000	(-) The name of the patient	M
	its authorized	[60000000000000000000000000000000000000	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$;};;;;;;;;;;	for whom the drug was	X EPT is likely
	representatives may	KXXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	****	XXXXXXXXX	ordered must be on the label	prohibited.
	examine or cause to be	888888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	8xxxxxxx	affixed to the container. Fla.	
	examined anyone	KXXXXXXXX	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	Stat. Ann. § 465.186.	Individuals with

⁷

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	,	• /	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	suspected of having an	***********	***************************************	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	***********		STDs must undergo
	STD, and if found to	1 000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	888888888	(-) Pharmacist prohibited	a physical exam
	have the disease, that	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	************	\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	from dispensing prescription	prior to receiving
	person shall be	<u> </u>	\$	XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	if there is reason to believe the	treatment. Pharmacists are
	treated. <u>Fla. Stat. Ann.</u> § 384.27.	XXXXXXX	***********	XXXXXXX	******	prescription is not supported	precluded from
	<u>x 304.21</u> .	XXXXXXX	\$ }\$	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	by physician-patient relationship or prior	dispensing a drug to
	(-) Physicians and	8888888	*********	88888888	800000000	evaluation. Fla. Stat. Ann. §	any individual who
	physician assistants	800000000	************	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	465.023.	may receive the
	barred from practicing	80000000	***************************************	88888888	888888888		drug who has not
	telemedicine, which	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	************	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	(-) Florida pharmacy rules	received a physical
	includes prescribing	BXXXXXXX	******	888888888	888888888	provide that	examination.
	drugs or treatment	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	***************************************	XXXXXXXX	****	"(1) Prescribing medications	
	based solely upon electronic	 	***********	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	based solely on an electronic	
	communication. Prior	XXXXXXXX	*********	88888888	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	medical questionnaire constitutes the failure to	
	examination and	88888888	******	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	practice medicine with that	
	diagnostic evaluation	188888888	***************************************	88888888	888888888	level of care, skill, and	
	required.	800000000	************	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	treatment which is recognized	
	Fla. Admin. Code	1 000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	888888888	by reasonably prudent	
	Ann. r. 64B8-9.014.	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	************	\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	physicians as being acceptable	
		KXXXXXX	*****	88888888	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	under similar conditions and	
		XXXXXXX	***********	XXXXXXX	******	circumstances, as well as prescribing legend drugs other	
		88888888	******	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	than in the course of a	
		KXXXXXXX	*****	888888888	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	physician's professional	
		BXXXXXXXX	***********	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	practice," and prohibit	
		XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	888888888	prescriptions absent "(2)(a) a	
		800000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	documented patient	
		KXXXXXX	****	XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	evaluation, including history	
		XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(XXXXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	and physical examination to	
		RXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXXXXX	gggggggg	establish the diagnosis for which any legend drug is	

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	s prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
						prescribed." Florida Admin. Code Chapt. 64B8-9.014	
Georgia	(-) Dispense means "to issue for subsequent administration to, or use by, a patient." Ga. Code Ann. § 43-34-26.1(a)(3.1)					(-) Prescriptions transmitted electronically or by fax must bear patient's name and address. Out-patient prescription drug labels must include the patient's name – Ga. Code Ann. § 26-4-80.	~ EPT is potentially allowable. Dispensation to, or use by, a patient does not expressly preclude subsequent provision of drugs to a partner. There is no statutory requirement that a physician conduct a physical examination prior to dispensing a drug for use by a partner.
Hawaii	(-) "A prescription drug shall be dispensed only by a practitioner to an ultimate user" Haw. Rev. Stat. § 328-16(b)(3) (+) The director of health may "remove drugs subject to §§ 328-15.4 and 328 17					(-) Prescription order must bear name and address of the person for whom the drug is prescribed, i.e. the "ultimate user." Haw. Rev. Stat. § 328-16(b)(3)(B)(iv).	~ EPT is potentially allowable. The director of health is authorized to waive prescription requirements that may otherwise preclude EPT to protect the public's

	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General	IV. Legislative bills or	V. Laws that incorporate	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal
	providers' authority to prescribe for STDs	decisions concerning	by the Attorney General				
	authority to prescribe for STDs	concerning		I DHIS OF	via reference	1	status with brief
	prescribe for STDs		or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	_	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		Commence
	T to a natient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	practices	(or line practices)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	from the requirements	XXXXXXXXX	***************************************	00000000	οξόδοσοσο		health. Nothing
	of subsections [a-d]	800000000		90000000			suggests that this
	when such	<u> </u>	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	888888888888888888888888888888888888888		waiver be granted
	requirements are not	XXXXXXXX	******		*****		only for exigencies.
	necessary for the	88888888	*******	XXXXXXX	***************************************		
	protection of the	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	8888888888		
	public health."	8000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	9 0000000			
	Haw. Rev. Stat. § 328-	 	************	XXXXXXX	****		
	16(h)(§ 328-15.4 concerns habit-	88888888	***********	88888888	888888888		
	forming drugs for use	800000000	***********	90000000			
	by a person; § <u>328-17</u>	<u> </u>	*******	88888888	8888888888		
	concerns new drugs).	XXXXXXXXX	************	******			
Idaho	***********	85555555	(-) The Attorney General	1 22222222	****	(-) Supplying drugs to	~ EPT is
	BXXXXXXXXXXX	*****	addressed the role of a non-	100000000	*****	unqualified persons	potentially
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888	physician (a correctional	RXXXXXXX	***************************************	constitutes unprofessional	allowable.
	PXXXXXXXXXXX	80000000	officer) to dispense	PXXXXXXXX	8888888888	conduct. <u>IDAPA 27.01.01 §</u>	
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXX	prescriptions to a third-party	20000000	*******	<u>184 (08)</u>	Although only
	XXXXXXXXXXX	****	(inmates). The AG concluded	KXXXXXX	*****		authorized health
	188888888888888	\$8888888	that this is not permissible	88888888	888888888	(-) Prescription label must	care practitioners
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		because (1) dispensing of	RXXXXXX		bear patient's name. <u>IDAPA</u>	may dispense
	KXXXXXXXXXXX	K XXXXXXXX	prescriptions requires specialized judgment, (2) an	KXXXXXXXX	****	<u>27.01.00 § 159</u>	prescriptions, there is no statutory
	BXXXXXXXXXXX	*****	in loco parentis argument	BXXXXXXX	*****		language that
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXX	does not override the medical	KXXXXXXXX	****		precludes EPT or
	PXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	training required to administer	188888888	888888888		requires a physical
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXX	drugs, and (3) only medical	RXXXXXXX	2000000000		examination prior
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	k XXXXXXXX	attendants may be delegated	KXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXX		to issuing a
	 	XXXXXXXXX	the task, as non-licensed	 	BXXXXXXXXXXX		prescription.
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXXX	practitioners, to dispense	RXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX		
	1888888888888	<u> </u>	prescription medicines	1888888888	88888888888		
	\$ \$	XXXXXXXXX	directly to a third-party. 1977 Op. Att'y Gen. Idaho 289.	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		

hea pro aut pro to a par	Statutes/regs on alth care oviders' thority to escribe for STDs a patient's rtner(s) w/out ior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
phy and for gor chl: exa take hear req rep and trea the ress.	Concerning ysical examination of medical treatment syphilis, norrhea, or amydia, if an amination has not en place, public alth authorities shall usest individuals to cort for examination of complete atment pursuant to examination ults. Ill. Admin. de tit. 77, § 3.50(a)(3).		(-) The Attorney General addressed whether non-licensed healthcare practitioners (nursing aids, orderlies, attendants) could dispense medications to patients. The AG concluded that only licensed practitioners (physicians or nurses) could administer medications. 1976 Op. Att'y Gen. Ill. 62	(+) SB0212 "provides that a health care professional who makes a clinical diagnosis of chlamydia or gonorrhea may prescribe, dispense, furnish, or otherwise provide prescription antibiotic drugs to the infected person's sexual partner or partners without physical examination of the partner or partners." This Bill was signed by the governor on August 24, 2009 and will go into effect January 1, 2010.		(-) Prescription label must bear patient's name. 225 Ill. Comp. Stat. 60/33; 225 Ill. Comp. Stat. 85/3 (e). (-) To sell or dispense a prescription drug without a prescription is prohibited. 410 ILCS 620/3.21 (-) A drug may only be dispensed to the patient or the patient's representative authorized to receive it. 225 ILCS 85/3(m)	✓ EPT is permissible. Statutory authority expressly authorizes EPT for the treatment of chlamydia and gonorrhea.

Legend: (+) S	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	,	•	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
		XXXXXXXXX			2333333333		
Indiana	(-) A physician "shall	RXXXXXXX	***********	\$3333333	(+) For	(+) Prescription label need	~ EPT is
	not prescribe,	XXXXXXXXX	>>>>>>	****	Chlamydia and	not bear patient's name unless	potentially
	dispense, or otherwise	KXXXXXXXX	*****	******	gonorrhea,	the patient's name is stated in	allowable.
	provide, or cause to be	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888	treatment	the prescription. <u>Ind. Code §</u>	
	provided, any legend	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	******	guidelines	<u>16-42-3-6</u> (e)(3).	The incorporation
	drug that is not a	KXXXXXXX	*****	*****	incorporated:		of CDC's STD
	controlled substance	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888	MMWR 1998		Treatment
	to a person who the physician has never	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	*************	XXXXXXXX	STD Treatment Guidelines,		Guidelines may provide a narrow
	personally physically	<u> </u>	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	XXXXXXXXXX	January 23, 1998,		exception to the
	examined and	XXXXXXXX	*********	*******	Volume 47/RR1.		statutory
	diagnosed unless the	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	************	\$888888	410 Ind. Admin.		requirement of a
	physician is providing	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	****	Code 1-2.3-59, 1-		physical
	care in consultation	XXXXXXXX	*******	₩₩	2.3-67.		examination prior
	with another physician	88888888	*********	XXXXXXXX			to prescribing
	who has an ongoing	XXXXXXXX	***************************************	XXXXXXXXX			drugs.
	relationship with the	XXXXXXXXX	>>>>>>>	****			
	patient, and who has	KXXXXXXXX	*****	******			
	agreed to supervise	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888			
	the patient's use of the	8000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	********			
	drug or drugs to be provided."	XXXXXXX	******	****			
	844 IAC 5-4-1(b)	XXXXXXXX	*********	******			
Iowa	(-) Local board shall	 	(-) The Attorney General	188888888	(+) Local boards	kxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	
	cause an examination	18888888	reviewed Idaho Code §	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	of health can	PXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	✓ EPT is
	of any person	BXXXXXXXX	155.30, which provides that	RXXXXXXX	make and enforce	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	permissible.
	suspected of having an	 }}}}}	"Any person who sells or	MXXXXXXXXX	such necessary	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
	STD, and if found to	XXXXXXXX	offers for sale, gives away or	DXXXXXXXXXXX	laws not	pacacacacacacac	Statutory law
	have one, that person	BXXXXXXXXX	administers to another person	KXXXXXXX	inconsistent with	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	allows health care
	shall be subjected to	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	any prescription drug shall be	PXXXXXXXX	the law or with	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	providers who
	treatment. <u>Iowa Code</u>	XXXXXXXX	deemed guilty ofa public	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	the rules of the	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	diagnoses a sexually transmitted
	Ann. § 139A.34.	KXXXXXXXX	offense," but this shall not	KXXXXXXX	state board. <u>Iowa</u>	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	chlamydia or

Jurisdiction I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
(+) "This chapter does not prevent a practitioner from delegating the administration of a prescription drug to a nurse, intern or other qualified individual under the practitioner's direction and supervision." Iowa Code § 155A.4(2)(c) (+) "A physician, physician assistant, or advanced registered nurse practitioner who diagnoses a sexually transmitted chlamydia or gonorrhea infection in an individual patient may prescribe, dispense, furnish, or otherwise provide prescription oral antibiotic drugs to that patient's sexual partner or partners without examination of that patient's partner or		preclude "a licensed practitioner of medicine, dentistry, nursingfrom such acts necessary in the ethical and legal performance of his profession." 1977-78 Op. Att'y Gen. Iowa 889. A court found this provision vague and unworkable as applied to these practitioners. State v Webb, 156 N.W. 2d 299. The AG ultimately opined that the legislative intent of the statute ensures that unlicensed individuals cannot administer prescription drugs without a prescription. 156 N.W. 2d at 301. (+) The AG addressed whether a physician had to be present while his or her agent (e.g., pharmacist) administered a prescription drug. The AG concluded "that supervision of an agent who is administering a prescription drug under the Iowa Pharmacy Practice Act does not necessarily require the physical presence of a physician. 2000 Iowa AG		Code Ann. § 137.6.		gonorrhea infection in an individual patient to prescribe dispense, furnish, o otherwise provide prescription oral antibiotic drugs to that patient's sexual partner or partners without examination of that patient's partner or partners. SF2177

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		Comments
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	practices)	(of like practices)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			The state of the s	EPT)		
	prior evaluation	XXXXXXX	consistent with proposed rules	practices)	EPI)	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
		XXXXXXXX	proffered by the Iowa Board	DXXXXXXX		800000000000000000000000000000000000000	
		888888888	of Pharmacy Examiners and	XXXXXXXX		188888888888888888888888888888888888888	
		1000000000	the Board of Medical	1888888888		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
		XXXXXXXXX	Examiners, it also noted that it	XXXXXXX		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
		KXXXXXXX	is not attempting to determine	KXXXXXXX		184848888888888888888888888888888888888	
		88888888	who is medically qualified to	88888888		888888888888888888888888888888888888888	
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	administer prescription drugs	RXXXXXX		800000000000000000000000000000000000000	
		<u> </u>	or what constitutes adequate	XXXXXXXXX		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
		XXXXXXXX	supervision among health care	DXXXXXXX		888888888888888888888888888888888888888	
T7	***************************************	*****	professionals.			xxxxxxxxxxxx	
Kansas	5333333333333	\$88888888	(+) There is no statutory	833333333	888888888	(-) A dispensing physician	~ EPT is
		RXXXXXXXX	requirement that patients be	RXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	shall clearly label each drug	potentially
	**********	****	examined by a physician prior to being given a prescription	XXXXXXX	****	dispensed. The label shall be typed or machine printed and	allowable.
		\$88888888	at a non-profit clinic.	88888888	888888888	shall include the following:	Although
	-XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXXX	However, the need for a	RXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	(b) The full name of the	physicians may
	·XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	****	physical examination depends	XXXXXXXX	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	patient.	prescribe drugs
		****	on the facts and standards of		****	K.A.R. 100-21-2.	without conducting
	_XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	******	competent medical practice.	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX		a physical exam, a
	BXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$88888888	XVI Kan. Op. Att'y Gen. 60,	RXXXXXXXX	888888888	(-) Except for specified	pharmacist may
		RXXXXXXXX	No. 82-162 (1982).	RXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	statutory exceptions, the sale	only dispense drugs
		\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		MXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX	or transfer (actual,	to an ultimate user
		\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		BXXXXXXXX	8888888888	constructive or attempted) of a	(which may not
	- XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXXX		RXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	drug from one person to	include partners of
	-155555555555	8 88888888		1888888888	8888888888	another must occur within a	patients).
		\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$			\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	registered pharmacy by a	
	_XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	****	N	KXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	registered pharmacist or by a person acting under the	
		\$\$\$\$\$\$ \$\$\$	1	PXXXXXXXX	888888888	pharmacist's supervision. One	
		RXXXXXXXX X		RXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	exception pertains to the	
1	_XXXXXXXXXXXX	*****		MXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	transfer of a drug by	
	_1555555555555	#XXXXXXXXXXXX		8888888888	88888888	"dispensing" the drug.	

¹⁴ CDC/DSTDP (08/17/2006) revised 02/19/2008; revised 8/20/2008, revised 10/7/2008, revised 2/10/2009, revised 3/4/2009, revised 3/19/2009, revised 4/22/2009, revised 8/6/2009, revised 09/2/2009, revised 12/16/2009

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out			EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	**********	****		KXXXXXXX	****	"Dispense" means "to deliver	
		********		XXXXXXXXX	***********	prescription medication to the	
	***************************************			XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	ultimate user by or pursuant to the lawful order of	
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$66666666		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	888888888	a practitioner." K.S.A. 65-	
	XXXXXXXXXXXX			XXXXXX	XXXXXXX	1626(g).	
Kentucky	(-) Any person	BXXXXXXXX	(-)if a nurse or other	#XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX	(+) Label not required to have	V raping to 1911
•	infected, or reasonably	8XXXXXXXX	person is dispensing any sort	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	patient name. KRS 217.015	X EPT is likely
	suspected of being	****	of prescription drug without	KXXXXXX	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	(26); see also KRS 217.065	prohibited.
	infected, with an STD	XXXXXXXXX	the immediate supervision of	XXXXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	(2), 217.065 (6), and 217.065	Physicians are
	shall undergo such	KXXXXXXX	a pharmacist or physician then	KXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	<u>(11)(b)</u> .	precluded from
	medical examination	88888888	they would be in direct	RXXXXXXX	8000000000	()	prescribing drugs
	as is necessary to determine the	XXXXXXXXX	violation of the prohibitions against such activity. KRS	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	(-) Under the Food, Drug, and	for an STD without
	existence or	XXXXXXX	315.020(1)(2)	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	888888888	Cosmetic Act, "dispense" means to "deliver a drugto	conducting a
	nonexistence of	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	1978 Ky. AG LEXIS 286	20000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	an ultimate userby or	physical exam.
	diagnosis, and if found	8 888888888	(OAG 78-450)	XXXXXXXX	888888888	pursuant to the lawful order of	Physicians may not
	to be infected, shall	XXXXXXXXX		*****	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	a practitioner" KRS	delegate their authority to
	submit to treatment.	XXXXXXX		XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	<u>217.015(9);</u>	dispense drugs to
	902 Ky. Admin. Regs. 2:080.	XXXXXXXX		XXXXXXXXX	***********		any other person.
	<u>2:080</u> .	XXXXXXXX		XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	(+) Occupations and	Pharmacists must
		88888888		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	888888888	Professions Code on	ensure that all drugs
		BXXXXXXX		RXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	Pharmacists, which defines	are dispensed to an
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		1888888888	8888888888	"dispense" as delivering a drug "to or use by a patient or	ultimate user
		800000000000000000000000000000000000000		RXXXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	other individual entitled to	(which may not include partners of
		 		KXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	receive the prescription drug."	the patient).
		XXXXXXXX		RXXXXXXXX	888888888	KRS 315.010	the patient).
		800000000		RXXXXXX	800000000		
		XXXXXXX		800000000000000000000000000000000000000	888888888	(-) Pharmacists must create	
		800000000000000000000000000000000000000		XXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	and maintain patient	
		 		KXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	information, including name	
		KXXXXXXX		KXXXXXX		address, age, list of all	

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out			EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation	~~~~~~		practices)	EPT)		
		XXXXXXXX		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	800000000	prescriptions from the last 12 months, etc., and give	
		XXXXXXX		XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	counseling to the patient to	
		XXXXXXX		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	888888888	optimize drug therapy, as	
		XXXXXXX		XXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	appropriate.	
		XXXXXXX		1888888888	888888888	201 KAR 2:210; see also KRS	
		XXXXXXX		XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	315.191(1), (5), (6), 42 C.F.R.	
Louisiana	(-) "A prescription	!}}}}}	() (7) : 1 ::: 0.1	******	******	Part 456	
Louisiana	issuedin the absence	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	(-) "It is the position of the Louisiana State Board of	#XXXXXXXXX	*****	(-) Prescription label must bear patient's name. La. Rev.	✓ EPT is
	of a documented	*******	Medical Examiners that: (i) it	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	Stat. Ann. § 1702; see also	permissible.
	patient evaluation	XXXXXXXX	is in contravention of the	XXXXXXXX	*****	Tit. 46 Part LIII Chapt 25	
	including a physical	*******	Louisiana Medical Practice	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	Subchapt A §2527	Via statutory law,
	examination, is issued	XXXXXXXX	Act for a physician to	XXXXXXXX	*****		EPT is expressly permitted. SB 238
	outside the context of a valid physician-	XXXXXXX	prescribe medication,	XXXXXXX	****		perintued. <u>3B 238</u>
	patient relationship,	XXXXXXXXX	treatment or a plan of care generally if the physician has	******	****		
	and is not a valid	XXXXXXX	not established a physician	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXX		
	prescription." <u>LA</u>	XXXXXXX	patient relationship."	XXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		
	Admin Code Tit. 46	XXXXXXX	Link to Medical Board	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXX		
	Part LIII Chapt 25 Subchapt A § 2515	XXXXXXX	Opinion]		800000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	Subchapt A § 2313	KXXXXXXX		********	XXXXXXXX		
	(+)"Any physician or	XXXXXXX		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	800000000000000000000000000000000000000		
		XXXXXXX		KXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX		
	any advanced practice	XXXXXXX			\$ \$	1	
	registered	XXXXXXX		KXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX		
	nursemay	XXXXXXX		XXXXXXXX	\$ \$	1	
	prescribe, furnish, or	XXXXXXX		RXXXXXX	88888888		
	otherwise provide	XXXXXXX			********	1	
	prescription antibiotic	 		KXXXXXX	88888888		
	drugs to that patient's	XXXXXXXX		1000000000	8888888888		

	authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's	decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning	incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices	requirements	of EPT's legal status with brief comments
	partner(s) w/out			EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation	200000000		practices)	EPT)		
	sexual partner or	∞		XXXXXX	XXXXXXXX		
	partners absent a			XXXXXXX			
	doctor-patient	*****		1 000000000000000000000000000000000000	888888888888888888888888888888888888888		
	relationship or absent	******		XXXXXX	XXXXXXX		
	an advanced practice			XXXXXX			
	registered nurse-	XXXXXXX		88888888	888888888		
	patient relationship	******		XXXXXX			
	and without	XXXXXXX		XXXXXXX	800000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	examinationof that	$\infty \infty \times \times$		XXXXXX	******		
	patient's sexual partner	******		XXXXXXX			
	or partners." La. Rev.			XXXXXXX	******		
	Stat. §1064.1.	*********		XXXXXXX	2000000000		
Maine	***************************************		(-) It is the policy of the	XXXXXX	(+) Incorporates	(-) Prescription label must	~ EPT is
	BXXXXXXXXXXX	*****	Board of Licensure in	1 000000000000000000000000000000000000	by reference	bear patient's name. Me. Rev.	potentially
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	********	Medicine that prescribing, dispensing or furnishing a	XXXXXX	treatment as stated in CDC	Stat. Ann. tit. 32, § 13794.	allowable.
	DXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	*******	prescription medication or	XXXXXXX	recommendations	(-) Prescription drug orders	Lacking statutory
	***************************************	*****	device to a person who is not	88888888	for notifiable	shall contain, at a minimum,	guidance, the
	***************************************	****	an established patient and whom the physician has not	XXXXXXX	conditions.	Name and Address of the	medical board opines that failure
	bxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	******	personally examined may be	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	(+) Incorporates	Patient. 02-392 CMR Part 4, Ch. 19,	to conduct a
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	unprofessional conduct	RXXXXXX	by reference	p. 72.	physical exam
	XXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	subject to disciplinary action	XXXXXXX	prescribed care		"may" constitute
	BXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	pursuant to 32 MRSA, §3282-A, 2, (f). This rule does not	KXXXXXXX	as set forth in APHA CCD		unprofessional conduct. The state,
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	apply to admission orders for	XXXXXXX	Manual, 17th		however, has
	XXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	a newly hospitalized patient,	XXXXXXX	edition (2000),		incorporated by
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	prescribing for a patient of another physician for whom	XXXXXXX	unless specified otherwise by the		reference CDC's guidelines for

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference	•	status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	praesices)	(or much principles)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	the prescriber is providing	XXXXXXX	State		notifiable
	-XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	******	coverage, or continuing	XXXXXXX	Epidemiologist.		conditions and
	1888888888888	\$88888888	medication on a short-term	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	10-144 Me. Code		APHA's CCD
		XXXXXXXXX	basis prior to a new patient's	20000000	R. Ch. 258, § 5.		Manual, each of
	MXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	# \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	first appointment.	KXXXXXXX			which may suggest
		\$8888888	[Link to Medical Board	DXXXXXXX	(+) "The health		the use of EPT.
	- XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXX	Opinion]	XXXXXXX	department may		
	-XXXXXXXXXXXXX	*****		XXXXXXXX	establish		
		*****		20000000	procedures for		
	-XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	*********		XXXXXXXX	agents of the		
	- PXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$5555555		88888888	department to use in the		
		********		XXXXXXXX	treatment of		
	**********	***		XXXXXXX	individuals		
	_B88888888888	******		88888888	having or		
	.xxxxxxxxxx	RXXXXXXXX		RXXXXXX	reasonably		
	-XXXXXXXXXXXXX	***		XXXXXXXX	believed to have		
		*****			a communicable		
	-XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	******		XXXXXXX	disease." Me.		
	- PXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$5555555		88888888	Rev. Stat. Ann.		
<i>f</i> 1 1	<u> </u>	}}}}}		}}}}	tit. 22, § 807.		
Iaryland	(+) Certain health care providers are	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	(-) A physician who	KXXXXXXXX	(+) The	(+) Prescription need not bear	✓ EPT is
	authorized to dispense	XXXXXXXX	prescribes naloxone—a non-	DXXXXXXX	secretary or	patient's name. However if	permissible in
	or otherwise provide	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	controlled substance—to a	XXXXXXX	health officer	name is provided on	Baltimore.
	certain antibiotic	XXXXXXXX	patient to give to another heroin user in the event of an	1888888888	shall take any action necessary	prescription, label must bear the name of the patient. Md.	
	therapy to certain	XXXXXXXXX	overdose would be subject to	BXXXXXXXX	to prevent the	Code Ann., Health-Gen. § 21-	Certain health care
	partners of patients	XXXXXXXXX	criminal prosecution and	XXXXXXXX	spread of a	221.	providers are
	diagnosed with certain	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	disciplinary action for aiding	RXXXXXXXX	communicable		authorized to
	sexually transmitted	XXXXXXXXX	unauthorized practice of	XXXXXXXX	disease and shall		dispense or otherwise provide
	diseases without	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	medicine and for violating	XXXXXXX	issue special		certain antibiotic
	making a certain	KXXXXXXX	applicable laws. 88 Op. Att'y	188888888	instructions,		therapy to certain
	physical assessment as	XXXXXXXXXXX	Gen. Md. 03-009 (2003).	XXXXXXXX	when necessary,		partners of patients
	part of the Expedited						r

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference	•	status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	practices)	(or mic practices)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	Partner Therapy Pilot	200000000		00000000	for the control of		diagnosed with
	Program in the	XXXXXXXXX	(-) No single State law	RXXXXXXXX	a disease or		certain sexually
	Baltimore City Health	KXXXXXXXX	specifies the contents of a	KXXXXXXXX	condition. Code		transmitted disease
	Department . Code of	XXXXXXX	valid prescription. However,	1888888888	of Maryland		without making a
	Maryland Regulations	XXXXXXXXX	the necessary elements of a	00000000	Regulations		certain physical
	§10.06.01.17-1	XXXXXXXXX	prescription may be inferred	RXXXXXXX	§ 10.06.01.06		assessment as part
		XXXXXXXX	from statutes that govern the	KXXXXXXXX			of the Expedited
	(-) Physician may only	888888888	dispensing and labeling of	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	(+) Regulations		Partner Therapy
	dispense prescription	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	prescription drugs. Generally,	RXXXXXXX	incorporate by		Pilot Program in th
	drug to physician's	KXXXXXXX	a prescription will include the	KXXXXXXX	reference: APHA		Baltimore City
	patient, unless	800000000	identity of the patient See	1888888888	CCD Manual,		Health Department
	prescription is a starter	XXXXXXXXXX	Annotated Code of Maryland,		17th Edition,		Code of Maryland
	dose, sample, or at	888888888	Health Occupations Article,	188888888	2000, except		Regulations
	non-profit or public	XXXXXXX	§ 12-504 (circumstances	KXXXXXXXX	where such		<u>§10.06.01.17-1</u>
	health clinic. Md.	1888888888	under which pharmacist may	1000000000	recommendations		
	Code Ann., Health-	800000000	substitute generically	RXXXXXXX	conflict with		~ EPT is
	Occ. § 12-102.	8000000	equivalent drug of "same	KXXXXXXXX	health		potentially
	Note, the above	800000000	dosage form and strength" for	1888888888	regulations. Code		allowable in
	provision does not	XXXXXXXXXX	specified brand name drug);		of Maryland		Maryland.
	apply to providing a	888888888	Health- General Article § 21-	188888888888888888888888888888888888888	Regulations		
	prescription order to a	XXXXXXX	221(a) ("if stated in the	KXXXXXXXX	§§ 10.06.01.01-1,		Statutory law does
	patient.	1888888888	prescription," a dispensed	1000000000			not preclude the
		800000000	drug must be labeled with the	RXXXXXXX	Code of		administration of
	(-) Per Maryland Code	 } \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	name of the patient, any	MXXXXXXX	Maryland		prescription drugs
	of Regulations: Board	XXXXXXXX	directions for use, and any	888888888	Regulations		to a patient for use
	of Physicians: A	ĸ	cautionary statements); 01 Op.	BXXXXXXX	<u>§10.06.01.07</u>		by partners. The
	licensee shall dispense	KXXXXXXXXX	Att'y Gen. Md. 01-026	KXXXXXXX			medical and
	prescription drugs	<u> </u>	(2001).	18888888888			pharmacy boards
	only to the patients of	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		DXXXXXXXXX			are reluctant to
	the licensee, and	1888888888	(-) The Maryland Board of	RXXXXXX			support
	dispense drugs to a	 	Physician Quality Assurance	MXXXXXXX			prescriptions issued
	patient only when a	88888888	expressed concern about	88888888			outside of a bona
	pharmacy is not conveniently available	boccccccccc	internet prescribing. It	00000000			fide physician patient relationship

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT i	s prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
	to the patient. Code of Maryland Regulations § 10.13.01.04		questioned the existence of a bona fide doctor/patient relationship when a person, previously unknown to the physician, provides subjective answers to questions via an online questionnaire and the physician provides prescriptions medications. [Link to Pharmacy Board Newsletter] (-) The Maryland Board of Physicians suspended the license of a physician pursuant to a Consent Order of the North Carolina Board sanctioning the physician for authorizing prescriptions without a physical examination and without any prior physician-patient relationship. [Listing of Medical Board sanctions]				The MDHMH Secretary or health officer may take actions necessary to prevent the spread of a communicable disease (which is not limited to exigencies). As well, APHA's CCD Manual is incorporated by reference.
Massachu- setts			(-) In 2003, the Board of Registration in Medicine issued a policy on internet prescriptions, providing that "to satisfy the requirement that a prescription be issued by a practitioner in the usual course of his professional	Bill introduced to legalize EPT for Chlamydia. Status: introduced (not passed). S.B. 650 183rd Sess. (Ma.		(-) Dispensing means "the physical act of delivery a drugto an ultimate user." 247 CMR 2.00	~ EPT is potentially allowable. Statutory law does not preclude EPT, although the medical board

Legend: (+) S	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pote	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
			practice, there must be a physician-patient relationship that is for the purpose of maintaining the patient's well-being and the physician must conform to certain minimum norms and standards for the care of patients, such as taking an adequate medical history and conducting an appropriate physical and/or mental status examination and recording the results." It concluded that issuance of a prescription "by any means, including the internet,that does not meet these requirements is therefore unlawful." Note that the Board did not clarify, in citing a statutory provision on prescriptions for controlled substances, whether its position on issuing prescriptions without an exam also applies to the issuance of non-controlled substances. [Link to Massachusetts Board Opinion]	2003).			requires that a physician conduct an appropriate physical exam and establish a physician patient relationship prior to issuing prescriptions. The 2003 introduction of a bill to legalize EPT for the treatment of chlamydia suggests support for the practice of EPT.
Michigan	(-) Prescribing practitioner can only dispense prescription					(-) Prescription cannot be dispensed unless patient's name and record number are	✗ EPT is likely prohibited.
	drugs to the practitioner's own patients. Mich. Comp.			*******		on the prescription label. Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. § 333.17745; see also Pharmacy	Statutory law requires that drugs

Jurisdiction	Supports the use of EPT I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	ely affects the use of EPT III. Specific	EPT is permissil	V. Laws that	entially allowable X EPT is VI. Prescription	prohibited VII. Assessment
Jurisaiction	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference	requirements	status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		Comments
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	practices)	(or like practices)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	Laws Ann. §	XXXXXXXXX	*****************	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	Board rule R 338.479.	be dispensed to a
	333.17745. Note, the	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX		physician's own
	above provision does	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	888888888	(-) Pharmacist must provide	patients, narrowing
	not apply to providing	XXXXXXX	188888888888888888888888888888888888888			purchaser of prescription drug	the class of
	a prescription order to	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888	****	a receipt which includes	legitimate
	a patient.	XXXXXXXXX	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	patient name. § 333.17757.	recipients to individuals who
		KXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	****	XXXXXXXX		have expressly
		XXXXXXX		********	*********	(-) Pharmacist may not	established a
		888888888888888888888888888888888888888	**********	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	dispense prescription drugs unless s/he determines that the	physician patient
		1000000000	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	888888888	888888888	prescription is pursuant to an	relationship.
		100000000	*************	80000000	XXXXXXXX	existing physician/patient	Pharmacists must
		XXXXXXX	 	88888888	888888888	relationship. Mich. Comp.	ensure that all
		8000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	00000000	XXXXXXXXX	Laws Ann. § 333.17751.	prescriptions are dispensed pursuant
		XXXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	***	****	(-) A prescriber who issues a	to a valid physician
		XXXXXXXX	*****	(****	written prescription for a	patient relationship.
		*****	*****	XXXXXXX	******	noncontrolled legend drug	r
		XXXXXXXX	\$2222222222222	88888888	888888888	shall ensure that the	
		XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	prescription contains(a) The full name of the patient for	
		88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	888888888	whom the drug is being	
		XXXXXXX	188888888888888888888888888888888888888			prescribed"	
		XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888	****	Mich. Admin. Code R	
		******		*******	*****	338.479(b)	
Minnesota	(+) A RN, physician	BXXXXXX	<u>}</u>	(+) SF 2879	(+) "Nothing in	(+)"Nothing in this chapter	✓ EPT is
	assistant, or medical	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Permits	this chapter	prohibits a licensed	permissible.
	student may implement protocol	XXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	patient-	prohibits a licensed	practitioner from issuing a prescription or dispensing a	per missibie.
	that does not reference	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	delivered	practitioner from	legend drug in accordance	Statutory allowance
	a specific patient and	KXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	partner	issuing a	with the Expedited Partner	of the development
	results in a	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	therapy for the	prescription or	Therapy in the Management	of protocols in
	prescription of a	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	treatment of	dispensing a	of Sexually Transmitted	physicians' offices
	legend drug that has	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	chlamydia or	legend drug in	Diseases guidance document	or healthcare

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference	•	status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	practices)	(or line practices)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	been predetermined	XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	gonorrhea. SF	accordance with	issued by the United States	settings governing
	and delegated by a	100000000	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	2879 was	the Expedited	Centers for Disease Control."	the issuance of
	licensed practitioner,	<u> </u>	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	introduced in	Partner Therapy	Minn. Stat. § 151.37 Sub 2(f)	prescriptions may
	when (1) patient's	88888888	\$88888888888888888888888888888888888888	the Minnesota	in the		allow for EPT
	condition falls within	BXXXXXXX	***************************************	Senate on	Management of		within the
	the protocol and (2)	1888888888	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	February 20,	Sexually		discretion of the
	the protocol specifies	XXXXXXXX	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	2008, passed	Transmitted		prescribing
	the circumstances	888888888	***********	by the State	Diseases		authority.
	under which the drug	122222222	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Legislature and signed by the	guidance		
	is to be prescribed or	XXXXXXXX	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	Governor on	document issued		Statutory law
	administered.	100000000	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	May 15, 2008.	by the United		permits EPT. <u>SF</u>
	Minn. Stat. Ann. §§	122222222	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	-	States Centers for Disease Control."		<u>2879</u>
	<u>148.235</u> , <u>151.37</u> .	XXXXXXXX	500000000000000000000000000000000000000		Minn. Stat. §		
	(+) "Nothing in this	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		151.37 Subd 2(f)		
	chapter prohibits a	122222222	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		131.37 Subu 2(1)		
	licensed practitioner	XXXXXXXX	500000000000000000000000000000000000000				
	from issuing a	888888888	************				
	prescription or	122222222	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
	dispensing a legend	XXXXXXXX	800000000000000000000000000000000000000				
	drug in accordance	888888888	************				
	with the Expedited	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
	Partner Therapy in the	XXXXXXXX	**********				
	Management of	 	**********				
	Sexually Transmitted	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
	Diseases guidance	ĸ					
	document issued by	B\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	**********				
	the United States Centers for Disease	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
	Control." Minn. Stat.	80000000000	\$XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				
	§ 151.37 Subd 2(f)	BXXXXXXXX	**********				
Mississippi	*************************************	800000000	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	k00000000	000000000	(+) Prescription label need	
1.TippippiPh1	kxxxxxxxxxxx	8000000000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXXXX	<u> </u>	not bear patient's name. Miss.	✓ EPT is
					COCOCOCO	Code Ann. § 73-21-119.	permissible.

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT \checkmark	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
						(-) Prescriptions can only be dispensed by a pharmacist "for a patient." Miss. Code Ann. § 73-21-73 (cc)	Dispensation of a drug "for a patient" does not preclude EPT absent express language otherwise.
Missouri	(-) "Physicians may dispense only to individuals with whom they have established a physician/ patient relationship." 4 CSR 150-5.020(5)			(+) Senate Bill No. 329 repeals section 170.015, RSMo, to enact 8 new sections related to increasing preventive health services. Section 191.648 allows physicians to use expedited partner therapy under certain conditions by dispensing and prescribing medications for partners of persons diagnosed	(+) Regulations incorporate: (1) APHA CCD Manual, 15 th edition, 1990; (2) AAP's Report of Comm'ee on Infectious Diseases, 22nd edition, 1991; and (3) CDC's MMWR General Recommendation s on Immunization, April 7, 1989. Mo. Code Regs. Ann. tit. 19, § 20-20.040.	(-) Prescription label must bear patient's name. Mo. Ann. Stat. § 338.059; see also 4 CSR 150-5.020(4)(b)	~ EPT is potentially allowable. Dispensation of drugs pursuant to a valid physician patient relationship does not alone preclude EPT. Incorporation by reference of APHA's CCD Manual, the AAP Report on Infectious Diseases, and the CDC Rec's on Immunization may allow EPT for specific STDS.

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
	prior evaluation			with certain sexually transmitted diseases even when there is no existing physician/patie	EPT)		
Montana				nt relationship. (+) House Bill No. 292 amends sections 37-2- 104, 37-7-103, 50-18-10, and 50-31-301, MCA to allow "the dispensing of a prescription drug for a sexual partner of a person being treated for a sexually transmitted disease if the drug is dispensed in accordance with the provisions of 50-18-110 by a physician, physician	(+) Public health department regulations incorporate by reference CDC guidelines from MMWR: STD Treatment Guidelines, vol. 51, 2002. Mont. Admin. R. 37.114.515 (chlamydia); 37.114.530 (gonorrhea).	(-) Prescription must bear patient's name and address. Mont. Code Ann. § 37-7-101.	~ EPT is potentially allowable. Incorporation by reference of CDC's STD Treatment Guidelines suggest EPT is potentially allowable provided the state automatically recognizes the most current version of CDC's guidelines.

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	s prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
				assistant, or advanced practice registered nurse with authority to prescribe." Status: Died in standing committee (4/28/09)			
Nebraska	(-) Prophylactic treatment for STDs allowed after diagnostic evaluation of STD when the person either has an STD or is suspected of having contact with someone with an STD. Neb. Rev. Stat. § 71-504.				(+) Regulations incorporate by reference: (1) APHA's CCD Manual (latest edition); (2) CDC disease-specific recommendations via MMWR (latest edition). 173 Neb. Admin. Code Ch. 1, § 006	(+) Prescription label need not bear the patient's name. Neb. Rev. Stat. § 71-5404.	~ EPT is potentially allowable. A diagnostic evaluation that does not mandate a physical examination, along with the incorporation of CDC disease- specific recommendations, may allow EPT for the treatment of specific STDs.
Nevada				(+) <u>Senate</u> <u>Bill No. 305</u> amends Chapter 441A	(+) Regulations incorporate by reference: (1) APHA's CCD	(-) Requires patient name on label of prescription. NRS 639.2353(2)(d)	✓ EPT is permissible. The automatic

	Supports the use of EPT		•	EPT is permissi			EPT is prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out			EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	***************************************	***********	***********	of the Nevada	Manual; (2)		recognition of the
	155555555555555555555555555555555555555	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$	************	Revised	AAP's "1997		most current
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	DOOOOOOO	DOXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Statutes to	Red Book; (3)		version of CDC's
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	& XXXXXXXXXX	****	allow a health	CDC STD		STD Treatment
	1888888888888	\$8888888	******	care provider	Treatment Guidelines as of		Guidelines as the
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	to provide a patient	Sept. 1, 1989.		appropriate standard of care for
	XXXXXXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXX	****	diagnosed	Any revision to		the treatment of
	XXXXXXXXXX		\$66666666666666666666666666666666666666	with an STD	the above		STDs.
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	8888888888	**********	with a	guidelines is		Administrative
	155555555555555555555555555555555555555	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$	************	prescription	effective 10 days		regulations mandate
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	DOOOOOOO	DOXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	for treatment	after its revision		adherence to the
	************	*****	****	of that STD to	unless the state		CDC STD
	18888888888888	\$8888888	\$88888888888888888888888888888888888888	their sexual	health officer		Treatment
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXX	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	partner	files an objection		Guidelines for the
	XXXXXXXXXXXX	K XXXXXXXXX	****	without	with the state		treatment of
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$8888888	*******	examining the	board of health.		chlamydia and
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXX		**********	partner.	Nev. Admin. Code § 441A.200		gonorrhea. Coupled with the
	XXXXXXXXXXXXX	<u> </u>	***********	Status:	Code § 441A.200		stated policy of the
	**********	XXXXXXXX		Referred to	(+) CDC STD		NV Health
	************	*****	****	Conference	Treatment		Department STD
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$8888888	******	Committee	Guidelines		Program to use
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXX	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	(5/23/09)	heralded as the		CDC guidelines as
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	<u>{</u> }	***		"standard of		standard of care
	DXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	•	care" for the		suggests EPT is
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	BXXXXXXXX	******		treatment of		permissible.
	188888888888888888888888888888888888888	<u> </u>	\$ \$		STDs in Nevada.		
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	<u>XXXXXXXXX</u>	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	•	[Link to Health		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	****		Department STD		
	PXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	***********		Program policy]		
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	\$00000000000000000000000000000000000000	•	() A 11 1 1/1		
		XXXXXXXX	****	1	(+) All health		

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference	_	status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	•	(EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	***************************************	******	***************************************		care providers		
	15555555555	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		must follow		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	800000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		Chlamydia and		
	*******	888888888	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		gonorrhea		
	1555555555	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		treatment		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	100000000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	•	guidelines in STD Treatment		
	******	888888888	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		Guidelines,		
	15555555555	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		MMWR, 1989.		
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	1000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		Nev. Admin.		
	******	*****	*****		Code §§		
	15555555555	88888888	*********		441A.490,		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		441A.540.		
New	\$33333333333	XXXXXXXX	(+) The New Hampshire State	8XXXXXXX	************	(-) Patient's name required on	✓ EPT is
Hampshire	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	100000000	Board of Medicine adopted	XXXXXXX	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	prescription. N.H. Rev. Stat.	
	****	XXXXXXX	guidelines regarding	KXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	Ann. § 318:47-a.	permissible
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	88888888	prescribing of medications for	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	888888888		The medical board
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1888888888	patients unknown to the	RXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX		guidelines state that
	KXXXXXXXXXX	<u> </u>	physician. The Board found that "under certain	KXXXXXXXX	****		it is appropriate for
	BXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	circumstances for treatment of	1000000000	888888888		a physician to give
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1888888888	contacts of sexually	RXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX		a prescription to the
	KXXXXXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXXX	transmitted diseases, it is	XXXXXXXXX	****		index patient to
	BXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	appropriate for a physician to	BXXXXXXX	*****		treat a sex partner
	XXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	give a prescription to the	RXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		that has not been
	KXXXXXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXX	index patient with whom there	1000000000	888888888888888888888888888888888888888		examined.
	BXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	is a physician-patient	BXXXXXXX	*****		
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	relationship for the sole	RXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	kxxxxxxx	purposes of treating a sex	1888888888	8888888888		
	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	XXXXXXXXX	partner that has not been	BXXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		
	IXXXXXXXXXXXX	የእና እና እና እና እና እና እና ^ለ	examined." [Link to Medical	IXXXXXXXXXX	(ΧΧΧΧΧΧΧΧΧΧ		ĺ
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\infty\infty\infty$	Board Guidelines]	KOOOOO			

Legend: (+) S	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	s prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
	department of health may provide antibiotics and other appropriate drugs for the treatment and prevention of STDs. N.J. Stat. Ann.§ 26:4-47. (-) A prescription means a lawful order of a practitioner for a drug, a device or diagnostic agent for a specific patient. N.J. Stat. § 45:14-41 (2006).					prescription label. N.J. Stat. Ann. § 24:21-17.	potentially allowable. The state department of health is granted broad authority to dispense drugs for the treatment and prevention of STDs. Although a physician may only prescribe medications for a specific patient, EPT may be possible pursuant to population-based interventions under the direction of the health department.
New Mexico	(+) Unprofessional or dishonorable conduct includes "prescribing drugs or medical supplies to a patient when there is no established physician-patient relationship, which would include at a minimum an adequate history and physical examination and informed consent,		(+) On May 11, 2006, the New Mexico Medical Society adopted a Resolution that supported the implementation of expedited partner therapy; and specifically, "the option of expedited partner treatment for sexually transmitted diseases consistent with the most current version of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines, "Expedited Partner Therapy in			(-) Prescription must bear name and address of patient. N.M. Stat. Ann. § 61-6-7.1 (Repealed, effective July 1, 2010).	✓ EPT is permissible. Statutory authority precludes prescribing drugs absent a physician- patient relationship except for the provision of treatment for partners of patients

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	P-ssesses,	(00 2220 F 20000000)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	except for on-call	XXXXXXXXX	the Management of Sexually	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX		with STDS when
	physicians and	*****	Transmitted Diseases, Review	KXXXXXXX	*****		this treatment is in
	physician assistants;	88888888	and Guidance," when	88888888	888888888		accordance with the
	and except for the	XXXXXXXXX	conducted in accordance with	80000000	\$\$\$\$\$\$	1	EPT guidelines and
	provision of treatment	18888888888	protocols developed by the	KXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX		protocol published
	for partners of patients	XXXXXXXX	New Mexico Department of	888888888	888888888		by the New Mexico
	with sexually	XXXXXXXXXX	Health. The New Mexico	00000000	00000000000	1	Department of
	transmitted diseases	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	Medical Society would	RXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX		Health.
	when this treatment is	KXXXXXXX	support such changes in the	KXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX		
	conducted in	XXXXXXXX	Medical Practice Act and/or	00000000	*****		
	accordance with the	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	rules and regulations that -	RXXXXXXX	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	expedited partner	KXXXXXX	while preserving the general	KXXXXXX	****		
	therapy guidelines and	88888888	principle of requiring a	88888888	888888888		
	protocol published by	NXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	doctor-patient relationship	80000000	0000000000	1	
	the New Mexico	80000000	prior to treatment - would provide an exception in the	KXXXXXXX	*****		
	department of health."	88888888	specific context of expedited	1888888888	888888888		
	NMAC 16.10.8.8	XXXXXXXXXX	partner treatment to give	00000000	00000000000	1	
	<u>(L)</u>	888888888	physicians and physician	RXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX		
		KXXXXXXX	assistants the option of using	KXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX		
		1000000000	CDC-defined expedited	80000000	8888888888		
		800000000	partner treatment for sexually	XXXXXXXX	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
		∞	transmitted diseases without	*****	****		
		88888888	fear of being in violation of	88888888	888888888		
		XXXXXXXX	the Medical Practice Act."	XXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$		
		80000000	[Link to Medical Board	KXXXXXXX	*****		
		88888888	Resolution]	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	888888888		
lew York	(+) A healthcare	(-) Judicial			(+) Any persons	(-) Prescription must bear the	✓ EPT is
	practitioner who	decisions			diagnosed as	patient's name, address, and	_
	diagnoses chlamydia	suggest that			having	age. N.Y. Comp. Codes R. &	permissible.
	infection in a patient	providing			gonorrhea, or	Regs. tit. 8, § 29.2.	Statutory authority
	may prescribe and	prescription			those who have		expressly authorizes EPT for
	dispense antibiotic	without prior			been exposed to		the treatment of
	drugs to the patient's	examination is			gonorrhea, shall		the treatment of

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
	sexual partner(s) without examining the patient's partner(s). NY Public Health Law, Article 23, tit.1, § 2312	physician misconduct. Carloni v. De Buono 245 A.D.2d 970, 972 (N.Y.App. Div. 1997); Balmir v. De Buono 237 A.D.2d 648, 649 (N.Y. App. Div.1997).		praetices	be treated with appropriate medication in accordance with accepted medical procedures as described in the most recent treatment schedule distributed by the NYS Dep't of Health. Any person diagnosed as having chlamydia shall be treated by means of a written prescription issued in accordance with accepted medical procedure as described in the STD clinic guidelines distributed by the Dep't. N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 10, § 23.2.		chlamydia.
North Carolina			(-) It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board		(+) Regulations incorporate:	(-) Prescription label must bear patient name. N.C. Gen.	~ EPT is potentially

Legend: (+) S	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT	EPT is permissil	ole ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT i	s prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference	•	status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		Comments
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	practices	(or like practices)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	Prior evaluation	XXXXXXXX	that prescribing drugs to an	(XXXXXXXX	APHA's CCD	Stat. § 106-134.1.	allowable.
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	1888888888	individual the prescriber has	10000000000	Manual (as	<u>Stat. § 106-134.1</u> .	anowable.
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	RXXXXXXXX	not personally examined, or	RXXXXXXXX	revised); any		Although the
	*******	****	has never met based solely on	KXXXXXXX	guidelines or		medical board
	155555555555555	\$88888888	answers to a set of questions,	1888888888	recommendations		recommends that
	DXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		as is common in Internet or	800000000	published by		physicians conduct
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	<i>\$</i> \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	toll-free telephone	KXXXXXXXX	CDC (as revised)		a physical exam
	188888888888888888888888888888888888888	\$8888888	prescribing, is inappropriate	1888888888	shall supersede		prior to prescribing
	******	DOOOOOOO	and unprofessional."		those contained		drugs, the
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888	[Link to Medical Board	RXXXXXXXX	in the CCD		incorporation of
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	1888888888	Opinion]	18888888888	Manual. 10A		CDC STD
	************	*****		00000000	N.C. Admin.		Treatment
	1888888888888	888888888	(-) "It is up to the Pharmacist	1888888888	Code 41A.0201.		Guidelines suggests
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	KXXXXXXX	to determine the legitimacy of	18888888888			that EPT may be
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	1888888888	each prescription, which	10000000000	(+) For		possible.
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	RXXXXXXXX	arrives in the Pharmacy. One	RXXXXXXXX	gonorrhea and		
	XXXXXXXXXX	K XXXXXXXX	important consideration is the	XXXXXXXX	Chlamydia,		
	1555555555555	\$8888888	Board's Rule on prescription	1555555555	regulations		
	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		orders, <u>21 NCAC 46.1801(b)</u> .		incorporate		
	*******	****	The Rule specifically	KXXXXXXX	recommendations		
	15555555555555	\$8888888	provides that a Pharmacist	1888888888	contained in the		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	BXXXXXXXX	shall not fill or refill a		U.S. Public		
	XXXXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXX	prescription if the order was	KXXXXXXXX	Health Service		
	155555555555	800000000	produced from a prescriber	18888888888	STD Treatment		
	******	DOOOOOOO	without a prior prescriber-		Guidelines (as		
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$ \$\$\$	patient relationship or without	KXXXXXXXXX	revised). <u>10A</u>		
	15555555555	1888888888	a physical examination."	1888888888	N.C. Admin.		
	\$ \$	DOCCOCCOCCOCC	[Link to Board of Pharmacy Opinion]		Code 41A.0204.		
	188888888888888888888888888888888888888	888888888	Оринон	RXXXXXXXX			
North Dakota	(+) "A practitioner	(-) Court	(-) In an opinion focused on	************	XXXXXXXXX	(-) Prescription label must	✓ EPT is
	who diagnoses a	upheld	durable powers of attorney,	<u>00000000</u>	<u> </u>	bear patient's name unless	

Jurisdiction I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
sexually transmitted diseasemay prescribe or dispense, and a pharmacist may dispense, prescription antibiotic drugs to that patient's sexual partner or partners, without there having been an examination of that patient's sexual partner or partners." ND Century Code § 61-04-04-01 (21) (+) Nothing in the requirements for dispensing controlled substances and specified drugs "may be construed to prohibit a practitioner from issuing a prescription or dispensing a controlled substance or specified drug in accordance with administrative rules adopted by a state agency authorizing expedited partner therapy in the management of a	revocation of physician's license for prescribing over Internet without prior examination or physician-patient relationship. Jones v. ND State Bd. of Medical Examiners, 691 N.W.2d 251 (N.D. 2005).	the N.D. Attorney General stated that "North Dakota has many laws which limit a person's access to desired medical treatment. Certain drugs or medicines are not available without an authorized practitioner's prescription. N.D.C.C. § 19-02.1-15(1)." Id. at *8. 1997 Op. Att'y Gen. N.D. L-141.			physician indicates otherwise. N.D. Cent. Code § 19-02.1- 14.1.	permissible. Statutory authority expressly authorizes EPT.

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
	sexually transmitted disease". Effective date is August 1, 2009 ND Century Code § 19-02.1-15.1 (4d)						
Ohio	(-) Physician assistant may not provide treatment for new patients or new conditions in established patients without prior physician evaluation. Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 4730.21.	(-) Physician failed to use reasonable care when she prescribed excessive and extra doses of antibiotic to patient who insisted on giving extra dosage to husband. Reed v. State Med. Bd. Ohio, 833 N.E.2d 814 (Ohio Ct. App. 2005). (-) Physician misconduct for failing to evaluate new patients before prescription given, instead delegating to				(-) Prescription label must bear patient's name. Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 3715.64; see also Ohio Admin. Code § 4729-5-30(B)(4). (-) An order purporting to be a prescription issued not in the usual course of bona fide treatment of a patient is not a prescription and the person knowingly dispensing such a purported prescription, as well as the person issuing it, shall be subject to the penalties of law. Ohio Admin. Code § 4729-5-30(A).	x EPT is likely prohibited. Statutory authority, case law, and administrative regulations require a physician to conduct a physical exam prior to prescribing any drugs. The physician and the dispensing pharmacist would be subject to penalties if they knowingly allow a third-party who was not the physician's patient to procure a prescription drug.

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference	•	status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		Commence
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	practices)	(or like practices)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	prior evaluation	physician	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000	20000000		
		assistant.	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$\$\$\$\$\$			
		Royder v.	******	XXXXXXX	****		
		State Med. Bd.	588888888888888888888888888888888888888	88888888	888888888		
		Ohio, 2002	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000			
		WL 31867888	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	****		
		(unreported	588888888888888888888888888888888888888	88888888	8888888888		
		case).	}				
Oklahoma	(-) Physicians	(-) Physician	(-) The Oklahoma State	933333333	>>>>	(-) Prescription label must	X EPT is likely
	prohibited from	misconduct	Board of Medical Licensure	00000000	0000000000	bear name of patient. Okla.	prohibited.
	prescribing to a	found when	and Supervision determined	XXXXXXXXX	*****	Stat. tit. 59 §§ 353.13A,	prombited.
	patient without	physician	that "Unprofessional conduct	1888888888	8888888888	<u>355.1</u> .	Statutory authority,
	sufficient examination	prescribed to	includes "prescribing or	RXXXXXXX		()	case law, medical
	or establishing physician/patient	patients without	administering a drug or treatment without sufficient	KXXXXXXXX	****	(-) If the name of patient is	board opinions, and
	relationship. Okla.	establishing	examination and the	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	8888888888	stated in the prescription, the	administrative
	Stat. tit. 59 §§	physician-	establishment of a valid	RXXXXXXX	200000000	label must bear the patient's name. Okla. Stat. tit. 63, § 1-	regulations require
	509(12), 637.	patient	physician/ patient	XXXXXXXXXX	****	1409.	a physician to
		relationship or	relationship" pursuant to Title	*******	*****	<u>1409</u> .	conduct a physical
	(-) It is unlawful for	prior	59 O.S. 509-12. Also, a	RXXXXXXXX	******	(-) "The pharmacy or	exam prior to
	any person not a	examination.	"sufficient examination" and	1888888888	8888888888	pharmacist shall not dispense	prescribing any
	physician to treat	State v.	"establishment of a valid			a prescription drug if the	drugs. The physician and the
	anyone for an STD,	Litchfield, 103	physician/patient relationship"	KXXXXXXX	****	pharmacist knows or should	dispensing
	unless that person is	P.3d 111	can NOT take place without	88888888	888888888	have known that the	pharmacist would
	under direct control of	(Okla. Civ.	an initial face to face	RXXXXXXXX		prescription was issued solely	be subject to
	a physician. Id. at § 1-	App. 2004).	encounter with the patient. In	KXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXX	on the basis of an internet-	penalties if they
	<u>521</u> .	State v. Ray, 848 P.2d 46	other words, it requires at a minimum:	888888888	8888888888	based questionnaire, an	knowingly allow a
		(Okla. Civ.	2. Establishing a diagnosis	RXXXXXXXX		internet-based consultation, or	third-party who was
		App. 1992).	through the use of accepted	KXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXX	a telephonic consultation	not the physician's
		11pp. 1772).	medical practices such as a	 	************	without a valid preexisting	patient to procure a
			patient history, mental status	00000000	00000000	patient-practitioner	prescription drug.
			patient history, mental status	የሚለኒለኒለኒለኒለኒለኒለ ለ	ᡊ᠕᠕᠕᠕᠕	relationship."	1 2

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out			EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
			and appropriate diagnostic and	XXXXXXX	****		
			laboratory testing by the		****		
			prescribing physician; 3. Discussing with the patient,	XXXXXXX	****		
			the diagnosis and the evidence	XXXXXXXX	8		
			for it, the risks and benefits of	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX		
			various treatment options; and	 	800000000000000000000000000000000000000		
			4. Insuring availability of the	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXX		
			physician or coverage for the	800000000	888888888		
			patient for appropriate follow-	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX		
			up care." [Link to Medical Board Policy	PXXXXXXXX	888888888		
			Position]	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	*****		
Oregon	(-) Prescription drugs	833333333	******************	(+) House	XXXXXXXX	(-) Dispensing physicians	✓ EPT is
	dispensed by a	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Bill 3022	bxxxxxxx	shall label prescription drugs	
	physician shall be	XXXXXXXXX	*************	permits certain	*******	with the name of the patient.	permissible.
	personally dispensed	XXXXXXX	*******	health	KXXXXXXX	O.R.S. 677.089 (3)(a);	Statutory authority
	by the physician. O.R.S. 677.089; see	XXXXXXXX	************	professional regulatory	******	O.R.S. 689.505 (5)(d).	allows certain
	also O.A.R. 333-076-	XXXXXXXX	*******	boards to	KXXXXXXX		health professional
	0145(4).	XXXXXXXX	******	adopt rules	BXXXXXXXX		boards to adopt
		XXXXXXXX	***********	that permit	RXXXXXXXX		rules that permit
		XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	practitioners to	10000000000000000000000000000000000000		EPT.
		XXXXXXXX	***************************************	prescribe or	RXXXXXXX		
		10000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	dispense antibiotic	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
		KXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	drugs to	RXXXXXXX		
		1000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	partners of	BXXXXXXXX		
		BXXXXXXX	***************************************	patients	RXXXXXXX		
		XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	without	BXXXXXXXX		
		XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	examining the	XXXXXXX		
		<i>(</i> 000000000000000000000000000000000000	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	partner for	KOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO		

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out			EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
		XXXXXXX	*******	sexually	***********		
		88888888	***********	transmitted	888888888		
		100000000	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	diseases. This	RXXXXXXX		
		XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Bill was signed by the	BXXXXXXXXX		
		XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	governor on			
		 	kxxxxxxxxxxxxx	June 24, 2009	bxxxxxxxxx		
		XXXXXXXX	*****	and will go	*****		
		KXXXXXXXX	*****	into effect	KXXXXXXXXXX		
		88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	January 1,	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
D 1 :		***********		2010	}		
Pennsylvania	(+) A prescription	1888888888	\$	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	855555555	(+) Pharmacist dispensing	✓ EPT is
	means a written or	XXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$	means "preparation of a	permissible.
	oral order issued by a duly licensed medical	*****	*********	***	****	prescription or non- prescription drugfor	F
	practitioner in the	XXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	80000000	*******	subsequent administration to	Statutory language
	course of his	88888888	***********	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	or use by a patient or other	does not preclude a
	professional practice	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	888888888	individual entitled to receive	third-party partner
	which is dispensed		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	the drug." 63 Penn. Code	from being a "consumer" or an
	for use by a	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	Ann. § 390-2(2.1).	"individual entitled
	consumer." 63 Penn.	XXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	00000000	\$\$\$\$\$\$		to receive the
	Code Ann. § 390-2(8); see also tit. 49 Pa.	*****	*********	***	****	(-) Prescriptions on file shall	drug."
	Code. Chapt 27.1	XXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	80000000	*********	show the name and address of	
	Code. Chapt 27.1	XXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	the patient. <u>Tit. 49 Pa. Code</u> Chapt. 27.18(b)(1).	
Puerto Rico	(+) "A prescription	<u> </u>	(-) The practice of			Ŋ ŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎ ŎŎ	~ EPT is
1 30110 11100	means a written order		telemedicine is governed by a	KXXXXXXXX	******	*****	potentially
	[- by <i>or</i> on behalf of]		regulation that speaks to the	RXXXXXXX	888888888	bxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	allowable.
	- a person in the legal		authority of physicians to treat	XXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		
	exercise of medicine."		individuals that they do not	KXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	The need to obtain
	20 L.P.R.A. § 382		physically examine.	BXXXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	****	verbal and written
	(Ley Num. 282 del 15		Exposicion de motivos, P. del	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	****	informed consent
	de mayo del 1945,	<u> </u>	S. 612 Ley 227, 1998.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx</u>	suggests that a

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is po	tentially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
	Sec. 3). Under the most likely interpretation of the existing version in Spanish, the meaning of the term "person" signifies a class of persons who are the recipients of the drugs. (-) "A Tribunal can revoke the license of a physician that employs or delegates the authority to unauthorized persons to perform acts that can only be legally executed by authorized persons in the practice of medicine." 20 L.P.R.A. § 52 (Ley Num. 22 del abril de 1931) Art. 17(e)(9).		(-) "The doctor should obtain verbal and written informed consent for the patient prior to the provision of services." Article 8 P. del S. 612 Ley 227, 1998.				physician does not need to perform a physical exam prior to issuing a prescription. Statutory ambiguities suggest that the recipient of a prescription may include a patient's partner. At the same time, statutory authority prohibits the delegation of tasks reserved to individuals licensed to practice medicine.
Rhode Island						(-) Prescription order must bear patient's name and address. R.I. Gen. Laws § 21-31-2. (-) Prescription label must bear patient's name, R.I. Gen. Laws § 21-31-15.	~ EPT is potentially allowable. The absence of statutory authority, case law, medical board opinion(s),

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference	1	status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		comments
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	practices)	(of fixe practices)	EPT (or like	(including		
				,			
	prior evaluation	~~~~~~	**************************************	practices)	EPT)		1 1
	18888888888888	88888888	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	88888888	888888888		and administrative
	D0000000000000000000000000000000000000	*****	*********	****	*****	4	regulations suggest
	833333333333	1888888888	18888888888888888888888888888888888888	9 000000000000000000000000000000000000	88888888		that EPT may be
	MXXXXXXX	∞	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	****	****		possible subject to
	155555555555555	888888888	588888888888888888	88888888	888888888		any policy or data
	DXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 00000000	0000000000000000000000000000000000000	1	that may suggest otherwise.
South	XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXX	******	₩₩	∞	()	otherwise.
ouun Carolina	(-) "It is	(-) Revocation	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	6333333333	(+) Regulations	(-) Prescription drug order	X EPT is likely
aronna	unprofessional	of physician's	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\circ \circ $	incorporated by	requires full name and address	prohibited.
	conduct for a	license upheld	188888888888888888888888888888888888888	\$\$\$\$\$\$	reference include	of patient. S.C. Code Ann. §	promoteu.
	physician to prescribe	based on	 	XXXXXXXXXX	but are not	<u>40-43-86</u> . However,	Statutory authority
	drugs to an individual	Board's	500000000000000000000000000000000000000	******	limited to: (1)	prescription label need not	case law, and
	without establishing a	finding	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	9 2222222	APHA's CCD	bear patient's name unless the	administrative
	proper physician-	(among other	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	*****	Manual, most	prescription order does so. S.C. Code Ann. § 39-23-50.	regulations require
	patient relationship. A	charges) that	58888888888888888	88888888	current edition; (2) AAP's "Red	S.C. Code Alli. § 39-25-30.	a physician to
	proper relationship, at a minimum, requires	physician wrote	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\circ \circ $	Book," most	() 74	conduct a physical
	that the physician	prescriptions	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$	current edition;	(-) Pharmacists may	exam prior to
	make an informed	outside of	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	and (3) when	compound medications for an	prescribing any
	medical judgment	physician-	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	*****	necessary, the	individual patient based on the	drugs. The
	based on the	patient	188888888888888888888888888888888888888	90000000	health	"existence of a	physician and the
	circumstances of the	relationship.	 	****	department shall	pharmacist/patient/practitioner relationship and the	dispensing
	situation and on	Gale v. State	588888888888888888888888888888888888888	800000000	adopt other	presentation of a valid	pharmacist may no
	his/her training and	Bd. of Med.	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000	accepted national	prescription"	knowingly allow a
	experience. This will	Examiners,	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	*****	public health	S.C. Code of Laws tit. 40 §	third-party who wa
	require that the	320 S.E.2d 25	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888	recommendations	40-43-86(CC)(2)(b)	not the physician's
	physician: (1)	(S.C. Ct. App.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	₿₿₿₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽	such as CDC	TO-T3-00(CC)(2)(0)	patient to procure
	Personally perform an	1984).	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	guidelines, or		prescription drug.
	appropriate history	,	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	XXXXXXXXX	make other		There is no expres
	and physical		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888	policies as		indication that the
	examination, make a		800000000000000000000000000000000000000	ያያያያያያያ <u>ያ</u> ያ	needed.		CDC STD
	diagnosis, and		KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX	S.C. Code Ann.		Treatment
	formulate a		BXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888	Regs. 61-20		Guidelines are
	therapeutic plan;		588888888888888888888888888888888888888	888888888	4		incorporated by

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	tentially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
	(2) Discuss with the patient the diagnosis and the evidence for it, and the risks and benefits of various treatment options; and (3) Insure the availability of the physician or coverage for the patient for appropriate follow-up care. C. Prescribing drugs to individuals the physician has never met based solely on answers to a set of questions, as is common in Internet or telephone prescribing, is inappropriate and unprofessional." S.C. Admin. Reg. Chapt. 81, Art. 1 § 81-28						reference, although the incorporation by reference of the APHA's CCD Guidelines and other "accepted national public health recommendations such as CDC guidelines" provides an opening to reconsider this initial assessment.
South Dakota					(+) The "methods of control" or "control measures" section of one of the following guidelines are incorporated by	(-) "Legend drug to be dispensed by prescription only Refill restricted. A pharmacist may only dispense a legend drug or medicine pursuant to the written or oral prescription of a practitioner licensed to prescribe drugs and medicines."	~ EPT is potentially allowable. There is no statutory authority, case law or medical board opinion that precludes EPT.

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference	•	status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	practices)	(or line practices)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	00000000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	00000000	reference: (1)	S.D. Admin Reg. 20:51:05:20	Rather, the state has
	.xxxxxxxxxxxx	XXXXXXXXX	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	9 0000000	APHA's CCD	<u>5.D. 7441111 Reg. 20.31.03.20</u>	incorporated by
	XXXXXXXXXXX	*****	******	*****	Manual, 18th		reference guidelines
	188888888888888888888888888888888888888	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	 	88888888	edition, 2004; or		that may allow EPT
		\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000000000000000000000000000000000	(2) AAP's "Red		for the treatment of
	XXXXXXXXXXX	*****	******	*****	Book", 26th		specific conditions.
	1888888888888	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	88888888	edition, 2003, (3)		-
		\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000000000000000000000000000000000	CDC STD		
	XXXXXXXXXXX	*****	******	*****	Treatment		
	188888888888888888888888888888888888888	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	 	88888888	Guidelines, 2006,		
		\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000000000000000000000000000000000	vol. 55		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	****	******	****	S.D. Admin. R.		
T	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	*******	$\infty \infty $	****	<u>44:20:03:01</u> .	***************************************	
Tennessee	(+) EPT by	8000000000	(+) For the treatment of	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	XXXXXXXXX	\$00000000000000000000000000000000000000	✓ EPT is
	physicians authorized	XXXXXXXX	Chlamydia trachomatis,	XXXXXXXX	***	******	permissible.
	for chlamydia only.	88888888	physicians may provide "an	1000000000	888888888	888888888888888	permissioner
	Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1050-2-	1000000000	effective and safe treatment to	20000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$33333333333333333	Statutory authority
	<u>Regs. 1050-2-</u> .13(9)(d), 0880-214	XXXXXXXX	the partners of patients infected with Ct who for	XXXXXXXXX	***	******	allows EPT for the
	.13(9)(u), 0000-214	88888888	various reasons may not	1000000000	888888888	888888888888888	treatment of
	(-) Nurses practicing	100000000	otherwise receive appropriate	20000000	XXXXXXXXX	933333333333333333333333333333333333333	Chlamydia and is
	at primary health	XXXXXXXX	treatment." As such,	XXXXXXXXX	***	*****	supported by
	centers shall not issue	XXXXXXXX	physicians may "provide to	00000000	*****	*****	medical board rules
	drugs for treatment of	888888888	the treated patient non-named	RXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	recognizing the
	STDs without prior	XXXXXXXX	signed prescriptions, or	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	888888888	***************************************	need to treat the
	examination by	XXXXXXXX	dispense to the patient, the	pxxxxxxx	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	sexual partners of
	physician. Tenn. Code	KXXXXXXXX	appropriate quantity and	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX	*****	patients.
	Ann. § 63-7-124 (for	KXXXXXXX	strength of azithromycin	RXXXXXXX	888888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
	all other STDs).	XXXXXXXX	sufficient to provide curative	pxxxxxx	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	
		KXXXXXXX	treatment for the total number	RXXXXXXX	888888888	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	of unnamed 'partners' as	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
		XXXXXXXXX	defined in subparagraph (b)	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	
		KXXXXXXXX	and indicated by the patient."	RXXXXXX	88888888	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	
		<u> </u>	Rule 0880-2.14(9)(a)-(d) of	MMMM	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
		*******	the Tenn. State Board of Medical Examiners	******		***************************************	
Texas			(-) It is unprofessional conduct [pursuant to Tex. Occ. Code § 164.053] for a physician to initially prescribe any dangerous drugs or controlled substances without first establishing a proper physician-patient relationship. A proper relationship, at a minimum, requires:(2) establishing a diagnosis through the use of accepted medical practices such as a patient history, mental status exam, physical examination and appropriate diagnostic and laboratory testing" [Link to Medical Board Opinion] (+) The Texas Medical Board has amended Chapter 190 of its Disciplinary Guidelines to add an exception to the requirement that a physician may only prescribe drugs to a person with whom a proper professional relationship has been established, for the prescription of drugs for a			(-) Prescription must bear patient's name and address. Tex. Occ. Code Ann. § 157.056, 563.052; see also § 164.054 (2) (-) "A pharmacist may not dispense a prescription drug if the pharmacist knows or should have known that the prescription was issued without a valid patient-practitioner relationship." Tex. Occ. Code Ann. § 291.104 (b)(1)(e)	✓ EPT is permissible The Medical Board expressly supports EPT.

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
	*************************************		disease. Effective date is June 24, 2009.	*******	******		
Utah	(+) The Pharmacy Privacy Act is amended to provide an option for physicians to use expedited partner therapy and "excludes from the definition of unprofessional conduct and unlawful conduct under the Division of Occupational and Professional Licensing, issuing a prescription for an antibiotic to an unnamed partner of a person who has any one of certain designated sexually transmitted disease." Utah Code Ann. § 58- 1-501.3 (+) Health Department may authorize physician to write standing order prescriptions without patient name or date		(+) Dentist may prescribe fluoride to schoolchildren without prior examination if he has sufficient contact to ascertain general amount of fluoride in drinking water. Furthermore, "[i]t is not necessary for the existence of a practitioner-patient relationship that the patient has previously undergone treatment by the practitioner nor that the patient has a continuing relationship with the practitioner." Utah Op. Att'y Gen. No. 77-017 (1977).		(+) Regulations incorporate by reference: APHA's CCD Manual. 17th ed., 2000; AAP Red Book, 26 th Ed. 2003. <u>Utah Admin.</u> <u>Code r. 386-702</u> .	(-) Prescription order must include patient's name and address. Prescription label must bear patient's name. Utah Code Ann. § 58-17b-602. (+) A health department may implement the prescription procedure under Subsection (3) for prescription drugs, other than controlled substances, for use in clinics providing: (a) sexually transmitted disease treatment; (b) fluoride treatment; or (c) travel immunization. [Subsection 3 provides that] the following prescription procedure shall be carried out: (a) a physician writes and signs a prescription for prescription drugs, other than controlled substances, without the name and address of the patient and without the date the prescription is provided to the patient; and (b) the physician authorizes a registered nurseto complete	✓ EPT is permissible. Statutory authority expressly allows for anonymous STD treatment. An attorney general opinion allows for third-party prescriptions without prior physical examination. EPT, however is only allowed for the treatment of STDs and cases recognized by official opinions. Outside these cases it is unlawful for a pharmacist to dispense drugs for anyone who does not have a prescription.

Legend: (+) S	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
	for treatment of STDs to be filled out and delivered to patient by nurse. Utah Code Ann. § 58-17b-620.					the prescription written by inserting the patient's name and address, and the date the prescription is provided to the patient, in accordance with the physician's standing written orders and a written health department protocol approved by the physician and the medical director of the state Department of Health. Utah Code Ann. § 58-17b-620(2)-(4) (-) It is considered unlawful conduct for a pharmacist to dispense a prescription drug "to anyone who does not have a prescription from a practitioner" Utah Code Ann. § 58-17b-501(10)	
Vermont	(-) All suspected cases of an infectious venereal disease must be examined by a physician licensed to practice within the state. Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 18, § 1093. (+) Individuals licensed to practice					(-) Prescription order and label must bear the full name and address of patient. Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 18, §§ 4201, 4212.	✓ EPT is permissible. Statutory authority expressly authorizes EPT for the treatment of chlamydia.

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT 🗸	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 💢 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	•	,	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	medicine, certified as	XXXXXXXXX	******	1	XXXXXXXXX		
	a physician's assistant,	*****	*****	3	*****		
	or licensed to practice	1000000000	********	Ä	888888888		
	nursing may practice	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	*************	H	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	EPT for the treatment	XXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	a	XXXXXXXXXXX		
	of chlamydia.	KXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	<u>k</u>	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		
	Vt. Stat. Ann. tit 26, §	88888888	**********	ď	******		
	<u>1369</u> .	888888888888888888888888888888888888888		9	<u>XXXXXXXXX</u>		
Virginia	**************************************	\$XXXXXXXX	(-) "Women's Health Nurse	 	(+) Regulations	(-) The prescription shall	~ EPT is
	XXXXXXXXXXXXX	***	Practitioners who treat male	XXXXXXXXX	incorporate:	contain the patient's name and	potentially
	DXXXXXXXXX	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	[partners] for STDs must have	BXXXXXXX	APHA's CCD	address. Va. Code Ann.	allowable
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	******	authorization for and have	XXXXXXX	Manual, 27 th	§ 54.1-3408.01(A)	
	188888888888888888888888888888888888888	\$55555555	received specific training in	1888888888	edition, 2000,		The Board of
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	**********	such practice, as documented in the written protocol	800000000	"Methods of Control" section,		Nursing and Medicine opinion
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	8xxxxx	between the nurse practitioner	KXXXXXX	except to the		requires a "bona
	1888888888888	188888888888	and the supervising physician.	188888888	extent that the		fide practitioner-
	RXXXXXXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXX	In addition, any prescription	RXXXXXX	recommendations		patient
	XXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$XXXXXXXXXXX	written for STDs shall be	XXXXXXXX	therein are		relationship,"
		RXXXXXXXXX	issued for a medicinal		outdated,		although this term
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	therapeutic purpose to a	XXXXXXX	inappropriate,		is only defined in
	PXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXXXXX	person with whom the	PXXXXXXXX	inadequate, or		statutes relating to
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXXXX	practitioner has a bona fide	800000000	otherwise		the regulation of
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	RXXXXXX	practitioner-patient	MXXXXXXX	inapplicable. The		controlled
	PXXXXXXXXXXX	KXXXXXXXXX	relationship" [Link to Boards of Nursing	BXXXXXXX	health board and commissioner		substances under
	RXXXXXXXXXXXX	#XXXXXXXXX	and Medicine Guidance	RXXXXXX	reserve the right		<u>Va. Code Ann. §</u> 54.1-3303(A).
	188888888888888	****	Document1	1888888888	to use any legal		Absent an express
	[XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	<u>Document</u>	EXXXXXXXX	means to control		statutory
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX		RXXXXXXX	any disease		preclusion, the
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$5555555		PXXXXXXXX	which is a threat		health board and
	RXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		RXXXXXXXX	to the public		commissioner may
	KXXXXXXXXXXXXX	******		188888888	health.		exercise their

	Supports the use of EPT			EPT is permissi		<u> </u>	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out	praesices)	(or mic practices)	EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation			practices)	EPT)		
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	200000000		100000000	12 Va. Admin.		authority to proffer
	.xxxxxxxxxxxx	XXXXXXXXX		80000000	Code § 5-90-100		EPT as a potential
	HXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	*****		XXXXXXXXX	<u>Code 3 3 30 100</u>		measure to treat
	1888888888888	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		1888888888			diseases (like
	D0000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		∞			STDs) that pose a
	XXXXXXXXXXX	*****		XXXXXXXXX			threat to the
	188888888888888	\$222222		1888888888			public's health.
Washington	(-) State and local	XXXXXXXX	(+) The Medical Commission	MXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	(+) Regulations	(+) When practitioner	✓ EPT is
	health officers and	80000000	"recognizes that it is a	(20000000000000000000000000000000000000	authorize local	dispenses drugs, prescription	
	their authorized	88888888	common practice for health	RXXXXXXXXXX	health officers to	label must bear patient's	permissible.
	representatives may	XXXXXXXXX	care practitioners to provide	<i></i>	incorporate by	name, although name and	G 1 1
	issue written orders	88888888	antibiotics for the partner(s)	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	reference:	dosage of drug may be	Statutory laws do
	for treatment only	XXXXXXXX	without prior examination.	RXXXXXXXXXXX	APHA's CCD	removed if physician	not require a
	after laboratory test	XXXXXXXXXX	While not ideal in terms of	8600000000	Manual, 17th	determines necessary. Wash.	physician-patient relationship that
	results or direct	88888888	diagnosis and control of	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	edition, 2000, or	Rev. Code § 69.41.050.	would otherwise
	observation of clinical	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Chlamydia and gonorrhea, the	KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	other measures		preclude EPT. The
	signs or assessment of	XXXXXXXX	Medical Commission	160000000000000000000000000000000000000	s/he deems	(-) A health care entity may	opinions of the
	clinical data by a	88888888	recognizes that this is often	RXXXXXXXX	necessary based	only administer, dispense, or	Medical
	physician confirm the	XXXXXXXX	the only reasonable way to	XXXXXXXXX	on his or her	deliver legend drugs and	Commission and
	individual has, or is	XXXXXXXX	access and treat the partner(s)	BXXXXXXXX	professional	controlled substances to	Medical Ass'n
	likely to have, a STD. Wash. Admin. Code §	KXXXXXXXX	and impact the personal and public health risks of	RXXXXXXXXXX	judgment, current standards of	patients who receive care	House of Delegates
	246-100-203.	XXXXXXXX	chlamydial and gonorrheal	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	practice and the	within the health care entity	clearly favor the
	240-100-203 .	XXXXXXXXX	infections." MD2003-04	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	best available	and in compliance with rules	use of EPT, which
		BXXXXXXXX	[Link to Commission opinion]	KXXXXXXXXX	medical and	of the board. Nothing in this	is further supported
		KXXXXXXX	12.11k to Commission opinion	KXXXXXXXX	scientific	subsection shall prohibit a practitioner, in carrying out	by local health
		88888888	(+) The Washington State	1000000000	information.	his or her licensed	officers' authority
		1000000000	Medical Ass'n House of	RXXXXXXX	Wash. Admin.	responsibilities within a health	to incorporate
		KXXXXXX	Delegates passed a Resolution	KXXXXXXX	Code 246-100-	care entity, from dispensing or	standards of
		88888888	concerning patient-delivered	188888888	036.	delivering to a patient of the	practice (e.g., CDC
		XXXXXXXX	partner therapy for curable	800000000		health care entity drugs for	STD Treatment
		KXXXXXXXXX	STDs and recommended that	KXXXXXXX	(+) Patients	that patient's personal use in	Guidelines) that
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	"the provider should inform	1888888888	diagnosed with	an amount not to exceed	may allow EPT for
		KXXXXXXXXX	and provider blooms inform	<i>BXXXXXXX</i> XXXXX	anagnosca with	an amount not to exceed	the treatment of

	Supports the use of EPT		<u> </u>	EPT is permissil			prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on	II. Specific	III. Specific	IV.	V. Laws that	VI. Prescription	VII. Assessment
	health care	judicial	administrative opinions	Legislative	incorporate	requirements	of EPT's legal
	providers'	decisions	by the Attorney General	bills or	via reference		status with brief
	authority to	concerning	or medical or pharmacy	prospective	guidelines as		comments
	prescribe for STDs	EPT (or like	boards concerning EPT	regulations	acceptable		
	to a patient's	practices)	(or like practices)	concerning	practices		
	partner(s) w/out			EPT (or like	(including		
	prior evaluation	~~~~~~		practices)	EPT)		
		XXXXXXXX	the patient that it would be	RXXXXXXX	reportable STDs	seventy-two hours of usage.	particular diseases.
		20000000000	best to have all partners	80000000	are monitored for	Rev. Code Wash. (ARCW) §	
		888888888888888888888888888888888888888	exposed during the previous 60 days come into a clinic for	88888888	quality of services using	<u>18.64.450(4)</u>	
		XXXXXXXX	examination, testing and	88888888	CDC Treatment		
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	treatment. However, if	RXXXXXXX	Guidelines as the		
		KXXXXXX	treatment is not otherwise	XXXXXXX	"standard of		
		88888888	assured, the patient should be	88888888	care."		
		XXXXXXX	provided antibiotics for their		[Link to Dept of		
		<u> </u>	partners."	<u> </u>	Health]		
West Virginia	(-) W.Va. regulation	XXXXXXXX	************	% \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	/	(-) Labels for legend drugs	✗ EPT is likely
	defines as	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	******	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXX	dispensed by a physician must	
	unprofessional	88888888	***************************************	88888888	8888888888	contain patient's name.	prohibited.
	conduct: "A practice	800000000000000000000000000000000000000	***********	9000000		W. Va. Code R. § 11-5-8.3(b).	Statutory authority
	of providing treatment	KXXXXXXX	******	****	XXXXXXXX		requires a physician
	recommendations	88888888	**********	88888888	888888888	(-) Pharmacists are prohibited	patient relationship
	relating to issuing prescriptions, via	100000000	***********	90000000	XXXXXXXX	from dispensing prescription	prior to prescribing
	electronic or other	KXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	****	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	orders when s/he has	medications.
	means, for persons	XXXXXXXX	*******		*****	knowledge that the prescription was issued	Pharmacists are
	without establishing	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	******	XXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	without a physician-patient	also precluded from
	an on-going	88888888	***************************************	88888888	8888888888	relationship. W. Va. Code §	dispensing drugs
	physician-patient	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	************	\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	30-5-3 (g).	where the intended
	relationship wherein	KXXXXXX	*****	*****	XXXXXXXX	<u>50 5 5 (g)</u> .	recipient is not the
	the physician has	88888888	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	888888888	8888888888	(-) Pharmacists, druggists,	patient identified on the prescription.
	obtained information	BXXXXXXX	*************	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$		and any other non-physician	the prescription.
	adequate to support	KXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	2666666666666	85555555555	are prohibited from	
	the prescription." 11	KXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	₿₿₿₿₽	[dispensing, selling,	
	<u>CSR Reg. 1A-12.2(k)</u> .	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	*****	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	distributing, or prescribing	
		KXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	medication for the treatment	
		KXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	@XXXXXXX	\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	of STDs without a written	
		BXXXXXXX	****	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	XXXXXXXXX	prescription or order from a	
		<u> 1000000000</u>	<u> </u>)XXXXXXXXXXX	<u> </u>	licensed physician and the	

Legend: (+)	Supports the use of EPT	(-) Negative	ely affects the use of EPT \checkmark	EPT is permissi	ble ~ EPT is pot	entially allowable 🗶 EPT is	prohibited
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements order is written for the person	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
						for whom the prescription is intended. W. Va. Code § 16-4-24	
Wisconsin				Bill introduced (not passed) to authorize EPT for chlamydia or gonorrhea if patient states that partner is not allergic to antibiotic. No more than two partners per patient per year may receive the prescription; patient responsible for payment. Assem. B. 995, 96th Sess. (Wi. 2004).	(+) Regulations incorporated by reference include DHHS' STD Treatment Guidelines, 1998. Specific medical treatment shall be prescribed by a physician or advanced practice nurse prescriber. Wis. Admin. Code [DHS]§ 145.22.	(-) Prescription order must bear name and address of the patient; label must bear patient's name. Wis. Stat. § 450.11.	~ EPT is potentially allowable. Statutory authority does not preclude EPT. Incorporation by reference of CDC's STD Treatment Guidelines suggest EPT is potentially allowable.
Wyoming	(+) Physician, health officer, or other person or facility providing health care may administer treatment to any person reasonably suspected of being						✓ EPT is permissible. Statutory authority expressly allows for the treatment of "any person" suspected of being

Legend : (+) Supports the use of EPT										
Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments			
	infected or exposed to an STD. <u>Wy. Stat. §</u> 35-4-131.						infected or exposed to an STD. Treatment does not require a physician patient relationship or a physical exam prior to prescribing a medication.			

Jurisdiction	I. Statutes/regs on health care providers' authority to prescribe for STDs to a patient's partner(s) w/out prior evaluation	II. Specific judicial decisions concerning EPT (or like practices)	III. Specific administrative opinions by the Attorney General or medical or pharmacy boards concerning EPT (or like practices)	IV. Legislative bills or prospective regulations concerning EPT (or like practices)	V. Laws that incorporate via reference guidelines as acceptable practices (including EPT)	VI. Prescription requirements	VII. Assessment of EPT's legal status with brief comments
SUMMARY TOTALS	(+) 15 states feature one or more laws that permit or may facilitate certain health care practitioners to practice EPT. (+) Maryland permits EPT in Baltimore on a pilot basis. (-) 27 states feature one or more laws that may limit the ability of some health care practitioners to conduct EPT.	(-) 6 states feature one or more judicial decisions that disallow prescriptions to persons without a physical examination or physician-patient relationship.	(+) 10 states feature an agency opinion that supports EPT or like practices. (-) 13 states feature agency opinions that tend to prohibit EPT or like practices.	(+) 6 states feature proposed legislative bills to authorize EPT: Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, and Wisconsin.	(+) 10 states have incorporated via reference CDC's STD Treatment Guidelines. (+) 13 states have incorporated via reference APHA's CCD Manual. (+) 5 states have incorporated via reference the AAP Red Book. (+) 6 states have incorporated via reference the AAP red Book.	(-) 37 states feature laws that require some patient identifying information on the prescription order or label. (+) 7 states' laws do not require patient identifying information on prescription order or label. (-) 13 states have statutory provisions prohibiting pharmacists from dispensing medications to individuals who have not undergone a physical examination, failed to establish a physician-patient relationship, or who are not the ultimate user (i.e., a third-party) pursuant to a valid prescription.	(✓) EPT is permissible in 21 states and Baltimore, MD. (~) EPT is potentially possible in 21 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. (✗) EPT is likely prohibited in 8 states.